	safety.
	1, 2, 3 &4. When the club was previous open under the name of jetset, every Friday and Saturday night without fail there would be a significant nuisance on Palermo road between the hours of 3am and 4am including drug taking, evidence all over the road the following morning which my children often enquired about. Disorder including shouting, screaming, arguing, swearing, fighting, music playing full blast in car radios for hours. It was hell. I have called both the police and for less serious disorder and nuisance 111. 100% objection. Adding nothing to the community other than to encourage drugs, antisocial behaviour, noise and nuisance.
-	This location is very close to a residential area which has suffered in the past from late night muggings, littering and noise from nightclubs. Opening a new nightclub with the hours proposed would contribute to increased crime and disorder in the area.
	I would like to object to the setting up ofthectruangle night club with reference to objective 1,2 and 3. There have been many times when the previous night club was running when we were woken by the noise of people leaving including cars also there were intrusions into our property although minor still enough to be a problem plants taken or pots knocked over. I also noticed bottles and rubbish left on the streets near the club most evenings after it had been open especially on the weekends. I don't feel safe for my children or family on having such a facility so close to a residential area.
	The licensing of this premises will likely increase crime in an area that has insufficient police resource to manage public order. I already feel very vulnerable walking home late at night when exiting from Willesden Junction station and would not feel safe walking past a venue where people may be loitering outside, or those that are refused entry are behaving aggressively. In order to safely cross Harrow Road at the lights you have to wait very close to the entrance of the venue which as a woman would make me feel very intimidated. This area needs to be cleaned up rather than the

council awarding licensing to a premises which has previously created a lot of problems for the local

community.

My objections relate to objectives 1,2 and 3 I believe as with the previous club on the same premises there is no way of controlling the behaviour of the patrons who leave the club. The noise level made in the early hours of the morning as people leave is disturbing as well as the litter left behind and the intrusion onto people's property from intoxicated patrons. Finally one does not feel safe when having to move between home and Willesden Junction station especially with a family. There was a noticably higher level of crime in our area when the other nightclub was running. All of which has occurred when the last club was running from this venue. We don't want to have such a business in what is bordering a residential area.

Clubs on this site in the past have been reported many times by residents for noise, nuisance, occasional violence and public nuisance. I object to the very late night closure of this new venture as I believe it will lead to people parking outside residents' homes in Furness, Palermo and Odessa Roads, returning late at night / early in the morning. In the past residents have been woken up by people shouting, banging car doors, revving engines, urinating and vomiting on pavements and in front gardens and being unpleasant to local residents. I think that a midnight closure is quite late enough for a club so close to a residential area.

I have no issue if it's a monthly night, but regular club nights will be disruptive if it's anything like the last place. cars park on our street, people aRe always very loud, and sadly wake up the kids. for me if it's irregular and they have nice clientele then it's fine. But if it's similar or rowdy clientele like before then I think it's a bad decision. As a woman, it is intimidating at night, so I think it's very much about the type of music, and place this club wants to be, and I fear the 3am finish is going to cause issues. As for the weekdays that just feels like it could be even worse than before. I am pro nightlife as a person, but in such a residential area where big improvements are being made. I think it's important to continue to improve. Happy to hear more about this, and hope whatever the outcome it doesn't effect the local community in a negative way.

I object on the grounds of points 1,2, and 3.In relation to point 1There is increased drug use in the surrounding residential streets, outside Di Sottos and on Palermo Rd and Rucklidge Ave when Jet Set was licensed in the same location. Also we do not want to encourage drug dealers back in to Furness Rd Pocket Park as they have recently successfully been dispersed. 2.I have seen young women on the road and sitting on the pavement very drunk around the club very late at night making themselves very vulnerable to rape, assault or other crimes against the person-there is no easily assessable taxi service next to the club premises. 3. Neighbours in Palermo Rd and Rucklidge Ave have made frequent complaints in relation to the club in terms of noise nuisance especially when drunken people are leaving the club. In addition the cars parked outside at all hours the club is open impinge on traffic flow and increase congestion representing a public nuisance.

I believe this will increase crime and disorder in the area as well as anti social behaviour. Historically this was the case with the previous late night establishment Jetset. These proposed late premises will also cause noise late at night and disrupt the local neighbourhood which houses a lot of young families. As a local resident I strongly object to this proposal.

\*

During Jetsets tenure Friday and Saturday evenings and of course the early am of Saturday and Sunday mornings have delivered, on a regular basis; drug use, shouting, car engine revving, blazing arguments, urinating, vomiting and the disposal of empty drug paraphernalia onto the paths and roadways. Beyond these anti-social considerations is the fact that much of that listed is still evident when Primary school children make their way to Furness Primary School early on Monday morning. It would also be interesting to see what would happen if spot breathalyser tests were carried out on drivers since many of the patrons choose to drive too and from the nightclub in the early morning. Many of the patrons of this nightclub are: disorderly, cause nuisance and do so within 25 meters of a Primary School as well as disrupt the lives of countless local residents. Do you like to hear the sounds of arguments, shouting and revving engines at 4am?

Using Willesden Junction tube exit for Harrow Rd would mean walking past crowds (this was the case previously when the old club was in operation) Rowdy, noisy crowds resulted in fear of safety, avoidance of using that area. It will also result in littering, danger of drug abuse etc (again things which have been witnessed previously with the last occupants).

The nightclub that was previously on these premises caused a huge amount of problems to the residents in the neighbouring streets. As it is, we are already having to deal with drug paraphernalia and empty alcohol bottles left on the streets which our children are confronted with on an almost daily basis. This will get considerably worse if Triangle nightclub is allowed to open. The noise and congestion caused by people coming and going to the club will also be extremely disruptive - in the past, when the previous nightclub was open, people parked in our streets and drunk there was a considerable amount of drunk and disorderly behaviour late at night, keeping people awake, or worse, waking up our children.

I want to object to this development on the basis of preventing crime and disorder. A late night alcohol serving club would terrorise the local people, and any visiting from the local train station. The people exiting the establishment would likely vomit on street furniture, bus stops, and pavements. Adding to the cost of the clean up. A night club / bar open this late at weekends would stop a lot of people from feeling safe and comfortable walking around in their own neighbourhood.

I strongly object to the application. The club already is responsible for a lot of noise, rubbish and disturbance in the area, plus issues with car parking late at night in the vicinity of the club. This would only increase the disturbance and disruption for local residents and is a crazy idea in the first place - there is no need to have a club serving drinks this late at night in this location, this is a residential area, not Leicester Square. Therefore I would like the council to block this application.

The proposal for the new club 'The Triangle' is a serious cause for concern for the residents of Rucklidge Avenue. This is because, the previous night club 'The Jetset' bought with it the following problems: - Crime on Rucklidge Avenue: Violent fights resulting in damage to property - my van's back window was smashed in by a young male clubber who also beat up his girlfriend in the small hours of the morning while his mates tried to stop him - I called an ambulance for her and this was reported to the police. Further, the club being open seems to encourage the use of drugs by club goers; on club nights, silver capsules are discarded all over the bottom of the street. Before the opening of the club, there was no evidence of drugs on the street. The club in the past has also resulted in severe double yellow line parking on each night the club is open. The double yellow line parking continues into the small hours, and cars fill completely the double yellow lines areas on both Rucklidge Avenue and Furness Road preventing the free flow of traffic and making the road very hazardous for drivers exiting or entering the roads. - Crime and anti-social behaviour on Harrow Road: there was a shooting outside the previous club and there is generally a lot of shouting and screaming and loud drunken conversations outside the club when clubbers are leaving to go home. -Anti-social behaviour on Rucklidge Avenue: Every night the club has been open late into the small hours of the morning, residents on Rucklidge Avenue are woken up by shouts and screams, loud drunken talking, sometimes very aggressive altercations and singing. On week nights, residents need get up early to get to work, being woken up night after night has a serious effect on quality of life. Due to the above, I strongly object to the proposed late night opening hours of this club. Local residents have suffered a great deal in the past and things have calmed down since the previous club closed. Thank you for your consideration. All the best,

Having lived here since the 70's and 20m away from the club, I have been constantly disturbed by the activities of the club goers. It is difficult for me to comprehend the reason why the authorities keep granting a licence in this part of a residential area, close to a school where, we, the residents, are constantly battling with crime, drugs and residual litter, notably needles and nitrous oxide canisters. This club has created a dangerous place to live and work ever since it's inception. I have witnessed shootings and vicious fights outside my house, urination and defecation in the pocket park, not to mention cars being smashed for apparent fun. A girl was raped in Odessa Rd after club closing hours. Sleep deprivation will be a constant issue with the club open every night, not to forget parking which will impossible; forcing us to park in the vicinity roads, adding thereby a safety issue. Also walking in the street will be a serious concern of safety for residents walking back from Willesden Junction tube station. This isn't Shepherds Bush or Piccadilly Circus, the residents of Rucklidge Avenue either go to work or work from home, either way, it will affect us all greatly. A lot of children are living my end of Rucklidge Avenue and going to school in the morning; they need a good night sleep. In my view, this is an insensitive and inconsiderate licence proposal; we are responsible and law abiding citizens who endeavour to raise the profile of the area via our residents associations and I strongly urge the council to take our concerns into consideration. Many thanks,

1) The Prevention of Crime & Disorder, 2) Public Safety, 3) The Prevention of Public Nuisance - can you select all three, as they all apply and this place has a history of nuisance, crime in the local area, as a result of excessive drugs, alcohol. If I had to choose one, then 3) The Prevention of Public Nuisance

I strongly object to this license. Harlesden already has significant anti-social behaviour problems with extreme drug issues. A club like this with these hours would only exacerbate this problem. I strongly object to this application.

I would object to this proposal unde 1) and 3). When a club was previously at this location and was open this late it became a magnet for drug dealing, loud noise and disturbances. I had never ending complaints from residents about this. The back of the club in particular is very close to residential homes on Clifton who will bear the brunt of noise and drug dealing as this is a cul-de-sac people can slip away onto.

I object to this application. It is open too late. Historically all previous incarnations of a club at these premises have not tackled the issue of disorder and drug distribution. Harrow Road around the site becomes heavily blocked with parked vehicle potentially impeding traffic and emergency services. I have been abused on occasions by customers of this premises previously and expect nothing will change under a different licensee. I truly believe without doubt this bew club will add additional pressure on already over burdenend emergency services. I believe granting a license for this premises will be very detrimental to the local area, it's local residents, those using Harrow Road by foot or by road.

This is a residential area that has already been subject to a lot of disturbance and drug dealing just yards away from this proposed nightclub. There is no facility for parking nearby and when a similar situation arose at the William IV pub when that was given a late license it caused chaos on Harrow Road with people double parking and doing u turns in the middle of this busy road. The junction where the proposed club is situated is bad at the best of times this would only make a bad situation worse. Also to have people leaving the venue after midnight every night it will cause a disturbance for those of us who live nearby. It will be a nightmare and will not be any benefit to the people who live in the area only for those coming from outside the area. The police are already overstretched and will not be on hand to deal with the obvious trouble this would cause. There is also a school very close by and the detritus that the kids will have to wade through on their way to school would not be desirable. I am sure the local police will strongly object to this venue.

As a local resident I wish to make a representation against the Licence application on the basis of objective 3 - the prevention of public nuisance. This has been experienced in the past, by way of considerable noise and antisocial behaviour, associated with a previous club establishment at the same premises, and I do not wish to suffer such nuisance again on a daily basis for an extended period of time as is proposed.

As a local resident I wish to make a representation against the Licence application on the basis of objective 3 - the prevention of public nuisance. This has been experienced in the past, by way of considerable noise and antisocial behaviour, associated with a previous club establishment at the same premises, and I do not wish to suffer such nuisance again on a daily basis for an extended period of time as is proposed.

HI, I am making representations against "The Prevention of Public Nuisance". When the clubs was open before, we used to have people dancing / shouting / fighting / listening to musing really loud in front of our house at any time of the night. There was also people urinating in the street / in our front yard and dropping litter., bottles of alcohol, etc.... A few of those people were intoxicated and were driving afterwards.! If the club re-opens the same will happen.

I am objecting to the licensing of the above premises on the grounds of points 1,2 and 3 above. Previously, brawls and fights frequently broke out as customers were leaving, and drugs were sold openly. The noise from customers shouting, fighting, parking their cars in my road was completely untenable, and would frequently go on all night.

The unexceptable noise from people falling out of the club in the early hours causes enormous distress to my husband and I and our 3 small children, not to mention all our neighbours too. They have in the past hung around the pocket park/Palermo Road where drug dealing continues into the early hours, playing loud music, getting into fights, shouting/screaming, normally offensive language used etc etc. It has often woken our children, it always wakes me and my husband. Rubbish, including lots of small gas cannisters (Nitrous oxide I think??) get strewn around our lovely residential street. With the whole new Park Royal Development, this is exactly the sort of establishment that will bring this area down and into disrepute. We STRONGLY object.

As a local neighbour, I have experience that the previous nightclub at this address caused major public nusiance (3) in terms of noise, shouting, fighting, and disorder on our street at rolling out time. There was an apparent increase in cars being broken into (1) late at night on our road, and the noise scared children and kept them from sleeping (4). As a result I would have to object. There is no reason to need a nightclub open until 2am in the morning every night in this peaceful neighbourhood. it will increase crime, disorder, and chaos in our local area.

 Due to the high level of alcohol consumption and other substances usually associated with clubs of this nature, there is often disorder outside the clubs particularly at the end of the night. A previous club in the same premises caused a great deal of trouble, police having to be regularly called and sometimes getting injured. 2) The Club is near the Willesden Junction exit on the Harrow rd. In order to walk home you have to pass by the club. Following point 1) and particularly as a woman I don t feel safe doing so. 3) As I mentioned in point 1, due to alcohol consumption plus other substances, people leaving these clubs tend to be very noisy and roudy. As a result, people from nearby areas are unable to have a restful nightful sleep. This affects in particulat houses in Palermo Rd and Rucklidge Av. Other streets are also affected because the club users park in all the nearby streets and as they go back to the cars they display a variety of anti social behaviour, including urinating and defecationg in front gardens. Very noisy behaviour is the normal pattern. 4) This club is near Furness Primary School. These clubs atract the usage of a variety of drugs, one of them being 'legal high canisters'. As a result the streets near Furness Pocket Park, in front of the club, get littered with these canisters. Children walking to school in the morning have to go through these areas. School children, particularly from Primary Schools should not have to be exposed to this..

When Club Jet set was open there were regularly fights and large groups assembled at the bottom of Rucklidge Avenue. This was certainly a public nuisance. It also attracted people selling Nitrous Oxide to the drunken revellers at the end of the street. This was not only loud it also caused a large amount of waste to be created in the form of hundreds of little silver canisters on the floor.

I object to the application for a entertainment licence number 13614. On the grounds of antisocial behavior to surrounding local residential areas during its opening and closing hours. I therefore object strongly to this licence being granted on the grounds of the prevention of public nuisance.

I would like to make an objection for the triangle club, I am living in this area and we are already suffering from a lot of night time ASB therefore opening yet another club till early morning hours will be going against the prevention of crime & disorder! It is already not safe for individuals, especially females to travel late at night so this would be yet another risk to public safety! As I work in Iceland Harlesden my shift can sometimes start at 7am and I have been a witness to a lot of public nuisance at early hours. We need to prevent and reduce public nuisance not attract more of it!

I really object to the opening of a club here. The corner is already quite a worrying place as a woman alone. When jet set was open it attracted huge numbers of drunk people who hang around where you come out of the tube. I think it is a big concern to public safety. I work late nights often and travel by tube. When jet set was open it was significantly worse to come out of the tube steps to come home. The intersection is dark and unprotected and if you are walking from Willesden Junction tube home late at night you don't want the club there. The club is on a busy road and when crowds hang around outside it is hard to get past. You often have to walk in the road which is anothe concern for public safety. This is a residential area and having a nightclub with drink and drugs that that no doubt brought before coming back into the area is not good. We don't want people parking, urinating and dealing drugs in the area. I think it will bring crime and disorder to the area. Not to mention being a public nuisance. I seriously object to the nightclub being in a residential area.

On the grounds of Licencing objection Number 3 specially I would like to please strongly object to the Triangle night club getting a licence. All of the above apply but as a resident who's family has been disturbed by the awful noise and filthy mess that results from such a club please take seriously the feelings and living conditions of people who's lives will be affected. We have a lovely neighbourhood of individuals trying hard to make the area a better place to live. This club will do so much harm.

This proposal is really bad idea for several reasons. Firstly, the atmosphere on the street just outside the club when Jetset was open was often drunken and hostile (and this is what greets everyone coming out of Willesden Junction station). It will be even worse with this new club because of the opening hours they propose. Secondly, there was a real parking issue and people (many probably drink driving!) were parking all over the bridge. This led to chaotic and unsafe scenes at night. Overall I also do not feel a nightclub like this is appropriate for such a residential area.

The immediate area is residential and antisocial behaviour associated with the club spills over into the surrounding streets. Previously, when a club has been operating at this address, there have been incidents involving the use of firearms. There has been an escalation of drug dealing in the locality. The area becomes congested and there are no available parking places for residents in the evenings.

This club previously was called Jetset and also had a late license and only created no end of trouble to the local residents. All we ever had on party night is drunken brawls, people urinating in our front yard we have also had people excrement on our door step as its a dark area, broken booze bottles beer cans and chicken boxes. People have car parties outside our house till the early hours of the morning meaning this would wake up our baby every night. It was a total and utter nightmare every weekend when the club was one as our streets were filthy and we had had NO SLEEP from the all night ravers!! I can not believe that anyone would grant them a 4am license knowing the problems all the residents in close proximity had during that time. It has been so nice and quite not having all those dramas and to know tell us it may return is a total and utter disappointment to the community and our families well being.

We had too many issues and problems with the previous establishment with late night car parties, broken bottles human vomit. No matter how many times we raised a complaint the owner would say to call him but nothing would ever get done. There has been number of times we would witness drink driving in the early hours of the morning as they would make so much noise that you would be woken up and can clearly see they are not fit to be driving but still they get in their cars. We reported it but nothing ever got done as there would be no proof it was our word against club! It would be a disappointment to the community if this went ahead after all the problems we have previously had.

I oppose the licensing application of the Triangle Club because it will result in excessive disruption, noise and anti social behaviour that will negatively impact on the residents who live in the flats and houses above the club, and on neighbouring streets. The previous club resulted in lots of drunk, loud and aggressive people walking up and down Rucklidge Avenue until 4am every night the club was open. Many club-goers park their cars at the junction with Furness Road and club-goers consume alcohol and drugs in their cars, making the area feel unsafe for passers by and children especially also leaving lots of glass and drug paraphernalia littering the street. We have witnessed lots of fast and dangerous driving by people getting back in their cars after the club has closed, possibly over the drink-drive limit. We have been woken dozens of times by violent fights happening between drunk people and apparent gangs/groups who are associated with the previous club, Brazil Avenue (also obscenely loud many nights of the week until 3-4am) and the other late night venues on that section of the Harrow Road. This is a residential area, with lots of children and elderly people, who would be greatly negatively affected by the opening of another club.

I object as I believe the licence will cause public nuisance within the local community and will give a rise to crime and disorder with it being open in the early hours of the morning. There is also potential to cause noise pollution. Currently there is also a large amount illegal substances left around the area and this will potentially increase with the opening of a club which isn't safe especially with a school located around the corner.

I would like to object on the basis of all 4 listed objections but particularly public safety and prevention of public nuisance. This is an area with a large proportion of families and having a club open until the early hours of the morning and serving alcohol all week may encourage a lot of anti social behaviour. This has already happened with the previous club on the same site with many residents worried for their safety and observing or experiencing drunken behaviour from people leaving the premises. The club would have no responsibility of what happens once their customers leave the premises and this is where I have concerns about disturbances to peace and quiet in the area which is on a very populated route from Williesden junction station for many residents in the area

The Prevention of Crime and Disorder - wec have frequently in the past been affected by drunkenness and drug taking around closing time of thks club. Crime is on the rise in our street and area including incidences of drug dealing, pretty crime, bike theft and attempted cat theft. Much of it either originates or is perpetuated by this club.

There is already a load of crime in our street and area and it is quite intimidating being around the area with all the cars and people late at night.

Very noisy late at night, including regular fighting / disagreements / general raised voices in the street. The club also generates a lot of rubbish in the surrounding streets from people parking up and eating, drinking and doing nitrous gas. The pavements and gutters are littered with gas canisters every weekend, adding to the already poor street care and respect towards the cleanliness of the neighbourhood.

As a local councillor I have received countless complaints about these premises. With regards to crime and disorder there have been various incidents including fires being started in the nearby park. There has been endless public nuisance from the patrons making a lot of noise when exiting the premises, slamming car doors, urinating in people's front yards etc etc. I realise that the Triangle will be run by different people to the Jet Set but that is no comfort. The proprietor of the Jet Set came to the Safer Neighbourhood Team ward panel meeting and offered his phone number to everyone so that they could complain to him immediately if there was an issue. we cant expect more than that from a bar owner, but still there was no end of incidents that violated 1) and 3) of the licensing objectives. It really isn't possible to have a bar open till 4 o'clock in the morning or any time past midnight at the latest in Harlesden without attracting people with anti social tendencies made worse by alcohol.

Many residents have suggested to me that they would not like a nightclub operating at a location which is so close to not only a highly dense residential area, but also a primary school.

There is an inordinate amount of crime already in both the Harlesden and Kensal Green wards which are closely linked. We do not need yet another establishment that will create more public disorder through noise, drinking and drugs. In addition, there are flats located directly above the venue - it is a residential building and residents should not have to endure loud music and public nuisance.

We object to this license due to our serious concerns about public nuisance, public safety and prevention of crime and disorder. This is a residential area. A late license is not appropriate to the locale. We have in the past experienced significant noise, foul language, drug use and disorderly behaviour after closing time when the previous nightclub on this site was operating. The proposed application to open to 2am during the week and 4am at weekends will only make this worse. Please do not issue this license.

Objection from local resident: Have witnessed numerous incarnations of bar/nightclubs in that spot and often on Fridays and weekends have returned home late to witness activity and paraphernalia outside homes associated with that type of business. They are noisy, there have been arguments often fuelled I believe by alcohol outside my residence and they often never leave the neighbourhood quietly. My concern is that there is no place for those who attend to park other than along a busy High Street which means parking is often outside residents' homes. There seems to be a lack of respect for the fact that they are in a residential area. What happens outside the club does not concern security at the club.

My objection relates to the first three of the licensing objectives. Harlesden already has a problem with crime and anti social behaviour, particularly drug related. As a mother to a young child, I am very aware of this. I strongly object to a premises that will be open late, and serving alcohol, when the community is trying to bring safety and peace to the streets. Thank you, I hope you take this on board.

The part of Harrow Rd and its junction with Furness Rd where the proposed nightclub is situated is already a traffic hot spot. A venue here would be a threat to public safety due to increased traffic and parking chaos. Cars and taxis coming and going, blocking the road and parking illegally, has the potential to create a public nuisance and disorder. I don't believe a nightclub with a late licence should be allowed to be within such a short walking distance of a school, particularly as the area close to the proposed nightclub, especially Furness Pocket Park, has been a scene of increased crime and drug dealing within the last 12 months. Having a nightclub in such close proximity, where alcohol is being served until 2am on weekdays and 4am on weekends will only serve to increase the risk of further crime and disorder.

I object on the following grounds relating to objectives 1,2 and 3 There is a substantial amount of noise in the early mornings as people are leaving the club from motor vehicles and people. There is proliferation of litter both on the street a d in people's gardens. Parking for residents becomes very difficult due to patrons using the residential parking spaces.

I reject this as from past experience this has increased drug abuse / noise levels in the local area were people are drunk and making lots of noise in the street. Using the street as a toilet. More drug dealing, parking in the area is a problem already and this will be worse. Walking from the tube late at night to be accosted / snide remarks from people hanging around outside makes me feel more unsafe and uncomfortable

I am objecting in order to prevent disorder and in the interest of making the public feel safe at night.

3) There is very little parking in the area and the club users take residents spaces. There are also no public toilets and as the club users leave the premises they foul the streets, front gardens and the little park, 4) There is a primary school very close by and the children are subjected to the sight of the paraphranelia of the drug using. Not to mention used condons

I totally object to a licence being given to the bar/night club Triangle. We live to the proposed night club. The pervious club, (club Jetset) caused issues on a weekly basis with drug dealing, noise pollution & public disorder. Our entrance path to our flat was used frequently by the club goers to consume alcohol & drugs before going into the club. We would then have to deal with being woken up in the early hours of the Saturday/ Sunday mornings to people shouting, fighting and music blaring from cars as the clubbers left.. On leaving the club our ) was used as toilet. We would then have disinfect the pathway &walls. Our weekends were disrupted on a regular basis and we would suffer from lack of sleep which had a knock on effect both at work & mentally. The only option we had to complain was to ring 101 at a ridiculous hour to get a crime number for the police. The pathway & road outside our house would be littered with beer bottle & small gas canisters. We have a school opposite us & I always worry that some of the drug paraphernalia will find it on to the school grounds. The police are already under a massive strain through lack of recourses without having to deal with public disorder issues every weekend at the same location (night club Triangle). The negative effect of granting a license for the nightclub/Bar will be massive. It will have an immediate impact on our lives on a weekly basis My address is NOT to be disclosed to the club. Objectives: Public Safety and Prevention of Crime &

Disorder My objection is regarding the club's requested opening hours, particularly the closing times which will encourage late night/early morning drunken and antisocial behaviour in the area and surrounding streets. It will have a huge affect on public safety in the area, such as making the journey from the station or bus stop late at night far more intimidating.

1) People who frequent these clubs often use a lot of alcohol and other substances, causing disorder and insecurity for residents. Consequently the level o crime will increase in this area and others. 3) I live in Palermo Road. People going to the club, park on our street. When they leave the club they are very noisy and wake us up. They also use our parking spaces.

This objection and comments are made on behalf of the HARLESDEN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING FORUM. The Forum objects to the granting of a late night licence on the grounds that it will create more public nuisance and lead to increased crime and disorder. With closing times set at 2am and 4am this will lead to disturbance to residential neighbours until 3 or 5am. The previous club, the Jet Set, caused regular disturbance to residents, anti social behaviour, street drug taking and other problems for the police. While the Triangle club seems to have new operators, the Forum is concerned that they have made no attempt to talk to neighbours, HNF or any of the other residents' groups close to the club (there are three). This suggests they may not be interested in engaging with the local community over the running of the club should thing not go smoothly in the future. The location will be difficult to police in the event of disturbance or crime and we understand that the Metropolitan Police are concerned. Without more information from the operators and to support local residents, groups and Harlesden Forum members who will be adversely affected, HNF is objecting to the licence application.

I am making a representation under the ground off "Prevention of Public Nuisance". We have been leaving at for just over 5 years and when the clubs was opened before we suffered with the following: - Noise: loud music from cars coming and going from the club at all hours of the night - Noise from people shouting and fighting - Noise from people having music blaring out of the cars and people dancing in the street after leaving the club - People pissing in our front yard or against our wall - Litter: bottles of alcohol and food wrapping left in the street - People driving under the influence after leaving the club - People speeding in the street after leaving the club - People dealing drugs in the street I can list more and more arguments, but I guess you get the picture. Having ac club there is just a source of nuisance for the local residents. We have a young family:  and in te past the kids have bene waken up because of the noise and we parents have been kept awake at night or been waken up. Best regards	
I am very against this proposal as it will be noisy and I think will lead to more crime and anti social behaviour in the pocket park near the club (which is very near my home surrounding streets.	
This is really bad idea in such a residential area as will lead to more crime and drunken behaviour	
Very concerned about crime and anti social behaviour related to this new Nightclub and it's opening hours	
Dear Sirs, The proposal to re-license this premise is quite absurd. We are aware from the SNT and our own personal experiences that the junctions of Harrow/Furness and Furness/Rucklidge Avenue and Furness/Leghorn Road already have high levels of anti social activity which include a large amount of street drug dealing which is carried out in the residential streets of Rucklidge and Leghorn and makes this area of special focus for the Police. The granting of an Alcohol and Entertainment license with times that range from 10:00pm until 2:00am five days a week and from 11:00pm until 3:30pm will increase the footfall during these night time hours which will only worsen all four of the above licensing objectives. Notwithstanding that this is an historic Alcohol and Entertainment license for a premise that could never meet the current stringent requirements on Fire, Health and Safety set out under present legislation. It is our view that this premise should be made to re-apply in total and made to meet all the demanding physical characteristics required by new applications for an Alcohol and Entertainment license. We therefore strenuously object to the granting of this license. Yours faithfully	

I object to the request for this license on all four of the grounds above 1) As has been proven by the club that operated on the premises previously, its existence does cause crime and disorder. I know that the police have been called on several occasions to deal with fighting and other issues at the club and outside of it when it closes. I have no reason to believe it will be any different with the new club. 2) I am sure that at least a few people attending the club will be drink/drug driving. On weekends there is no good public transport provision meaning that people drive to and from the club, I am sure some under the influence of alcohol and drugs. Certainly the way people behave when they leave the club would suggest this is the case 3) The club is huge nuisance to me and other faces the club. While club Jetset was open I was people living in its vicinity regularly disturbed in the early hours of the morning by people leaving the club, then standing outside of it and shouting at each other. Others would play music very loudly from their cars. In addition, people attending the club had left litter (including drug paraphernalia) in the area and most unfortunately chosen to use the path leading to our house (which is private property) as a toilet. In the past I have found the response from the police and council to be totally inadequate - regardless of the number of complaints made, nothing seemed to be done. I have enjoyed the last few months of not being woken by noisy and disruptive clubbers in the middle of the night as well as not having to call the police to report this this anti-social (and sometimes criminal) behaviour. It must be at least as bad, if not worse, for people living in the residential properties in the very same building as the proposed club premises. 4) There is a school very close to the club. The area in general is already plagued by drug dealers. The presence of a club is only going to encourage this more - completely inappropriate near to where children as young as four years old go to school.

The Prevention of Crime and Disorder: As one of the closest residential streets to the proposed Triangle club, which already attracts drug dealers to Rucklidge Avenue and the surrounding quiet residential neighbourhood. This has been significantly reduced due to the help of the Brent Council, local neighbourhood groups and the Police. The postive diffence to the neighbourhood after the Jet Set Club from the same location was shut down by the Metropolitan Police has made it a place to be proud of. The Jet Set Club had incidents which included a person being shot in the head, a rape in one of the local residential streets, regular assaults, club clientele regularly found in possession of knives by the police, increased violence, club clientele regularly congregating outside the club for an hour after closing time, vomit and broken bottles left in the local area. As one of the nearest parking areas(resident parking will be restricted for residents) to the proposed Triangle Club the impact will be greatly felt outside my property, which I share with my children. The drug dealers, who congregated outside my family home daily due to to the station and club will return, increasing crime, anti social behaviour, violence, vandalism, unpleasant drunken behaviour, noise and threatening public safety. The proposed Triangle club closing times of 2am and 4am will increase the noise level in the neighbourhood causing harm and disruption for the hard working residents many with children affected by the introduction of a local nightclub. I fear that the extra burden on the local police officers will also have a negative impact on crime in the neighbourhood. Harlesden and Kensal Green regeneration from crime, violence and disorderly behaviour from the past has had a positive effect for the local residents, which I understand that Brent Council is eager to continue and the introduction of the Triangle Club will set these plans back.