

	<b>Resources &amp; Public Realm Scrutiny Committee</b> 5 September 2018
	<b>Report from the Director of Performance, Policy &amp; Partnerships</b>
<b>Chair's Report</b>	

<b>Wards Affected:</b>	All
<b>Open or Part/Fully Exempt:</b> <small>(If exempt, please highlight relevant paragraph of Part 1, Schedule 12A of 1972 Local Government Act)</small>	Open
<b>No. of Appendices:</b>	1 – Chair's report
<b>Background Papers:</b>	0
<b>Contact Officer(s):</b> <small>(Name, Title, Contact Details)</small>	Peter Gadsdon, Director of Performance, Policy & Partnerships, <a href="mailto:peter.gadsdon@brent.gov.uk">peter.gadsdon@brent.gov.uk</a>  Mark Cairns, Policy & Scrutiny Manager, <a href="mailto:mark.cairns@brent.gov.uk">mark.cairns@brent.gov.uk</a>

## 1.0 Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 This attached paper includes notes from the chair of the committee on the agenda for the September meeting, including reasons for the selection of topics and background research carried out by members of the committee in advance of the meeting. It also follows up on a proposal in his July report.

## 2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 The committee is asked to note the observations made by the chair in the report at Appendix 1.

## 3.0 Detail

- 3.1 In advance of three substantive items on the agenda for this meeting (Item 7 – Highways Contract Performance; Item 8 – Digital Strategy progress and outcomes for vulnerable people; and Item 9 – Area Based Working Update), the chair has set out background information and the rationale for including each in the committee's work programme for this year. He has also provided details of advance information-gathering undertaken by some members of the committee, through meetings and discussions with officers and Cabinet

members (where relevant) responsible for the delivery of the services in question, as well as through a visit to the Harlesden Hub to observe first-hand how channel-shift is supported for vulnerable residents. Where appropriate, the report also sets out the committee's planned lines of questioning in the meeting.

3.2 The paper finally refers back to a recommendation made in the July report on the tagging of mattresses, and explains that an alternative plan for investigating the practicability of this proposal has been agreed with officers.

#### **4.0 Legal implications**

4.1 There are no legal implications.

#### **5.0 Financial implications**

5.1 There are no financial implications.

#### **6.0 Equality implications**

6.1 There are no equality implications.

**Report sign off:**

***PETER GADSDON***

Director of Performance, Policy & Partnerships.