

## Appendix C – LA’s retaining the provisions of the former CTB Scheme

<u>Authority Name</u>	<u>Region</u>	<u>Majority Political Party</u>	<u>Deprivation Ranking</u>
Adur	West Sussex	Conservative	150
Allerdale	Cumbria	No overall control	114
Barrow-in-Furness	Cumbria	Labour	44
Basingstoke and Deane	Hampshire	No overall control	275
Bristol, City of	Unitary Authority	No overall control	77
Broxtowe	Nottinghamshire	No overall control	219
Carlisle	Cumbria	Labour	112
Cheltenham	Gloucestershire	Liberal Democrat	228
Cherwell	Oxfordshire	Conservative	256
City of London	Inner London	Independent	226
Copeland	Cumbria	Labour	63
County Durham	Unitary Authority	Labour	81
East Hampshire	Hampshire	Conservative	308
Eden	Cumbria	No overall control	182
Forest of Dean	Gloucestershire	No overall control	155
Gloucester	Gloucestershire	No overall control	139
Hammersmith and Fulham	Inner London	Labour	76
Harrogate	North Yorkshire	Conservative	289
Hart	Hampshire	No overall control	326
High Peak	Derbyshire	No overall control	198
Isles of Scilly	Unitary Authority	Independent	242
Kensington and Chelsea	Inner London	Conservative	99
Lincoln	Lincolnshire	Labour	45
Merton	Outer London	Labour	212
Mole Valley	Surrey	No overall control	305
Northumberland	Unitary Authority	No overall control	145
Norwich	Norfolk	Labour	47

Oxford	Oxfordshire	Labour	169
Solihull	West Midlands (Met County)	Conservative	216
South Lakeland	Cumbria	Liberal Democrat	251
South Oxfordshire	Oxfordshire	Conservative	309
St Albans	Hertfordshire	No overall control	319
Stratford-on-Avon	Warwickshire	Conservative	263
Stroud	Gloucestershire	No overall control	281
Tandridge	Surrey	Conservative	284
Test Valley	Hampshire	Conservative	286
Tewkesbury	Gloucestershire	Conservative	262
Tower Hamlets	Inner London	No overall control	6
Watford	Hertfordshire	Liberal Democrat	194
Westminster	Inner London	Conservative	43
Winchester	Hampshire	No overall control	307

Of the 41 Local Authorities that have retained Council Tax Support schemes identical to the former national Council Tax Benefit scheme, 9 are in the upper quartile ranking for all 326 Local Authorities in relation to deprivation (i.e. they represent the greatest levels of deprivation), 7 are in the second quartile, 10 are in the third quartile and 15 are in the lower quartile (i.e. they represent the lowest levels of deprivation). In summary, 25 of the 41 authorities concerned were in the lower quartile rankings for deprivation that were established in 2015.