From: John Foley < johnfoley32@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** 21 January 2013 20:32

To: Lendore, Estelle

Cc: Josie Warshaw; Paul Schulte; Gazmend Turjak; Creasy, Barry

Subject: Re: William Hill, 141-143 Kilburn High Road [GOSS-

IMANAGE.FID2275002]/Gazmend Turjak

Attachments: Wm Hill - 141-143 Kilburn High Rd - ltr to Estelle Lendore

5.12.2012.doc

Hi Estelle

Re Gosschalks Letter 5.12.2013 – William Hill 141-143 Kilburn High Road.

Gazmend has asked me to give you his response to some of the statements in this letter.

Gazmend Turjak is completely shocked by the contents of this letter and he feels that his honest attempts to engage with William Hill to solve the ASB problem on Kilburn High Road/Glengall Road have been twisted to make it appear that he is potentially willing to withdraw his request for a Review of William Hills' licence and potentially support any application they make for a new one. This is not the case.

He feels that this letter is completely misleading and misrepresents his views and the whole community around the area affected by the premises in question. Gosschalks, as well as patronising all concerned, also make some wildly inaccurate assumptions.

So just to be clear:

- 1 Gazmend Turjak has no intention of withdrawing his request for a Review of William Hill's current licence at 141-143 Kilburn High Road and, has every intention of objecting to a new licence if one is requested. Gosschalk's clumsy approach to this whole situation has pretty much guaranteed this.
- 2 Residents Associations BEST and BRAT are the residents association in the area and, they have no intention of withdrawing their support for a Review of William Hill's licence (BEST supported Gazmends' original application for a Review of the licence) and would be amazed if they didn't object to a new licence especially when they hear that Gosschalks think that they may be supportive of William Hill!

I've copied Josie Warshaw (BEST) and Paul Schulte (BRAT) in on this email but I think Josie has already told you what her view and that of the BEST/BRAT residents are directly. By the way Josie and Paul aren't aware of any meetings that their residents associations have had with representatives of William Hill.

## 3 SNTMeetings

Gosschalks also mention the SNT meetings – Gazmend took part in these meetings because he wanted to be reasonable and do whatever he could to resolve the situation – now it seems that his attendance at the meetings has been misrepresented as support for William Hill. Gazmend attended the meetings in good faith and has engaged with representatives of William Hill and other parties to try and do something about the ASB problem which has blighted Glengall Road for years.

I don't think that he would have done so if he had realised that they were going to be interpreted by Gosschalks as a "window dressing" exercise for William Hill and their attempts to prevent a Review of their licence. I've copied in Barry Creasy, who does an exemplary job in chairing the SNT groups, as he may have some views about this.

4 William Hill have made some changes but it is still the view of Gazmend and the local residents that many of the original grounds for requesting a Review of the licence remain.

Again, Gazmend would appreciate it if you could you get back to us with the some dates for a hearing. — The best thing is that this issue should be resolved by the relevant Authorities, who are supposed to take into account the views of local businesses and residents and interested parties and not be swayed by spin from William Hill's solicitors.

We've been asking for this for more than a year now!

Can you please forward this email to the relevant people in your department.

John Foley (on Behalf of Mr Gazmend Turjak)