From: debbie@
Sent: 02 March 2026 10:21
To: Phil.S.Graves; Business Licence
Cc: Legister, Linda
Subject: RE: New Premises - Akshar Food & Wine, Unit 15, 87 Ealing Road, HA0 4BD - 37314

Phil

Thank you for your email with attachments.

Your assertion that the first application was submitted in the wrong name is factually incorrect. The application was made using the correct name and address albeit the Unit number was omitted. However, the accompanying clearly plan identified the application was for Unit 15. Any misunderstand lies with licensing who informed the Responsible Authorities that the application was for Unit 11 and/or your failure to correctly interpret the application and/or review the plan accompanying the application.

As you acknowledge, there is already a Premises Licence in place which already authorises the activities my Client would engage in, well in excess of the hours that my client proposes. There is therefore no justifiable reason for the application not to be granted, as it is not providing for anything over and above what is already authorised.

Furthermore, there is clear case law (Extreme Oyster & Star Oyster Ltd. v Guildford Borough Council (2013)) that supports the right for a shadow licence to exist in order to protect a landlord's Premises licence within a CIZ. This will be put to the licensing sub-committee, should the application have to go to a hearing.

However, my client is willing to engage in a consultation on any additional conditions that either the police or licensing believe would be beneficial in order to prevent wasted time and money on an unnecessary licensing hearing.

Kind regards

Debbie Bollard

Licensing Consultant

Member of the Institute of Licensing

Hough & Bollard Ltd.