

7 March 2023



Hi,

Re Premises Licence Review OVO Arena Wembley

Further to my earlier note today. Find enclosed a copy of a letter from ASM which arrived today. More obvious delaying tactics from them. And worse than the issues I mentioned in my earlier note, they are now, in my view, harassing my son. Harassment being both a criminal and civil matter.

The arena have already threatened violence against my son. This letter being further abuse and harassment in my view.

They are now demanding that he provide a separate email and phone number to the rest of his family, and that they interrogate him as they feel they are some kind of arbiter of whether his understanding of his rights is sufficient. They have no rights whatsoever to take this approach. Its abuse of a lot of laws. They have had a valid subject access request under the data protection act, they are not permitted by any laws to take this approach. Indeed, they have had valid requests from both me, on my sons behalf, and from my son himself.

Guidance from the Information Commissioners Office includes:

- “You may allow the parent or guardian to exercise the child’s rights on their behalf if the child authorises this, or if it is evident that this is in the best interests of the child”
- If they claim that my son is not competent then “If you are satisfied that the child is not competent and the request is from a person with parental responsibility for the child, then it is usually appropriate to let the holder of parental responsibility exercise the child’s rights on their behalf.” (they had had request from both my son, and myself)
- “If the request is from a child and you are confident that the child can understand their rights, you should usually respond directly to the child.”

So, whether any determination, by anybody, that my son is competent or not, understands his rights or not, makes no difference, it’s a pointless exercise to “confirm you understand your rights”, they have had valid requests from both my son, and myself. If my son were found to not understand his rights then I can exercise them, and have already done so. If my son were found to understand his rights then they already have a valid request from him.

Wembley and ASM have been supplied with contact details both myself and my son are happy with. They have no scope to go on this mission lawfully, its fraud and its harassment.

They have already committed fraud by

“Fraud by failing to disclose information

A person is in breach of this section if he—

(a) dishonestly fails to disclose to another person information which he is under a legal duty to disclose, and

(b) intends, by failing to disclose the information—

(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or

(ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss.”

The council may also like to consider reporting these criminal matters to the police, lets start with Fraud, move onto Harassment, do I have to list them all out?

Given the traumatic events my son has already suffered at the hands of ASM they chances of me allowing them to take this approach are minimal.

Regards,

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.