

7 March 2023



Hi,

Re Premises Licence Review OVO Arena Wembley

Please note that we have had none of the information we asked for in my, and my son's, "subject access request" under the data protection act from Wembley Arena or their operators ASM Global Europe. The law provides them with one calendar month to provide this, and they have not done so.

In my view this is

"Fraud by failing to disclose information
A person is in breach of this section if he—
(a) dishonestly fails to disclose to another person information which he is under a legal duty to disclose, and
(b) intends, by failing to disclose the information—
(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or
(ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss."

As delays to providing this information, or not providing it at all, the arena will certainly be reducing my chances of making effective preparation for my position at any licencing hearing, and stand to improve their chances of a more favourable licencing decision outcome.

I also understand that Wembley Arena and their operators ASM Global Europe have failed to answer subject access requests under the data protection act made by other people trying to make representation to the licensing process, and criminal allegations against the arena, this is also fraud, and the council and the police should address it as such. Indeed, long times to respond, or not responding at all, seem to be their standard approach.

Fraud is clearly a very serious matter, and I would ask that the issue of whether the arena operators are "fit and proper people" to hold such licences when they are acting in this way is considered in any licensing hearing. I also ask that I am allowed proper time to study whatever they deliver, if they deliver late, or if they try only partial disclosure initially, before any hearing is called, this would seem only proper conduct of justice in the hearing. I suggest similar courtesy is extended to anyone else trying to make representations to the licensing process, and that the hearing is delayed until they are happy that their subject access request(s) have been answered correctly, and they have had time to consider whatever is delivered.

If the Arena and ASM claim they have been trying their best but simply could not produce the information requested in the subject access request quickly enough, then the obvious point is that the law expects them to turn requests around in one calendar month, if their admin and systems are not setup to deliver this expectation then their admin and systems are not good enough, and really they lack basic competences to comply with the law and should not be given licences to run an arena. "The Prevention of Crime" being a clear licensing objective, and failure to comply with the data protection act being a crime, this is very much a matter to be considered by the licensing process.

The council may also like to consider reporting these criminal matters to the police.

Regards,

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.