

# Investment Strategy Statement: June 2021

## Introduction and background

This is the Investment Strategy Statement (“ISS”) of the London Borough of Brent Pension Fund (“the Fund”), which is administered by Brent Council, (“the Administering Authority”). The ISS is made in accordance with Regulation 7 of the Local Government Pension Fund (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016 (“the Regulations”). The Regulations require administering authorities to prepare, publish, and when appropriate revise, a written statement recording the investment policy of the pension fund; they also stipulate certain key issues which must be covered in the Statement.

The ISS has been prepared by the Pension Fund Sub Committee (“the Committee”) having taken advice from the Fund’s investment adviser, Hymans Robertson LLP. The Committee acts on the delegated authority of the Administering Authority.

The ISS, which was approved by the Committee in [TBC] 2021, is subject to periodic review at least every three years and without delay after any significant change in investment policy. The previous review took place in 2020 and was approved by Committee in October 2020. The Committee has consulted on the contents of the Fund’s investment strategy with such persons it considers appropriate.

The Committee seeks to invest in accordance with the ISS, any Fund money that is not needed immediately to make payments from the Fund. The ISS should be read in conjunction with the Fund’s Funding Strategy Statement.

The Fund has chosen to opt up and be classified as a Professional client as defined in the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive.

In December 2019, in line with the Competition and Market Authority’s Order, the Fund agreed a set of objectives with Hymans Robertson LLP. These are reviewed on, at least, an annual basis.

## The suitability of particular investments and types of investments

The Fund’s primary objective is to provide pension and lump sum benefits for members on their retirement and/or benefits on death, before or after retirement, for their dependants, on a defined benefits basis. The funding position will be reviewed at each actuarial valuation, or more frequently as required.

The Committee aims to manage the Fund in such a manner that, in normal market conditions, all accrued benefits are fully covered by the value of the Fund’s assets and that an appropriate level of contributions are agreed by the employers to meet the cost of future benefits accruing. For employee members, benefits will be based on service completed but will take account of future salary and/or inflation increases.

The Fund has the following funding principles:

- Ensure that sufficient resources are available to meet all benefit as they fall due for payment;
- Recover any shortfall in assets, relative to the value of accrued liabilities, over broadly the future working lifetime of current employees;
- Enable employer contributions to be kept as stable as possible and at reasonable cost; and,
- Maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters.

The Committee has translated its objectives, taking into account the funding principles outlined above, into a suitable long-term strategic asset allocation benchmark for the Fund. This benchmark is consistent with the Committee's views on the appropriate balance between generating a satisfactory long-term return on investments whilst taking account of market volatility and risk and the nature of the Fund's liabilities.

The investment strategy takes due account of the maturity profile of the Fund (e.g. in terms of the relative proportions of liabilities in respect of pensioners, deferred and active members), together with the level of disclosed surplus or deficit (relative to the funding bases used).

The Committee monitors investment strategy on an ongoing basis, focusing on factors including, but not limited to:

- Suitability given the Fund's level of funding and liability profile;
- The level of expected risk;
- Outlook for asset returns.

The Committee also monitors the Fund's actual allocation on a regular basis to ensure it does not notably deviate significantly from the target allocation (accepting that, given the nature of some of the underlying investments, it may take the Fund time to move to the long-term target allocation). This is covered in more detail later in this Statement.

Providing a framework for all investment decision making to enable delivery of the above key objectives are the Fund's investment beliefs. The Fund's currently held investment beliefs which have been updated during the last review are set out in the Appendix.

### Strategic allocation

The Fund's current assets include:

- Equities (UK and overseas)
- Diversified growth
- Government bonds
- Private equity
- Infrastructure
- Property
- Multi asset credit
- Private Debt

The Fund is invested in these asset classes either directly or through pooled funds. The Fund may also make use of contracts for differences and other derivatives either directly or in pooled funds investing in these products for the purpose of efficient portfolio management or to hedge specific risks when it is deemed appropriate to do so.

The Regulations require the Fund to set out the maximum percentage relative to the total value of all investments that it will invest in particular investments or classes of investment.

The Fund's long-term strategic target investment allocation is set out in Table 1 below and includes the associated maximum percentage limits for each asset class associated with the long-term strategic targets. In

line with the regulations, the authority's investment strategy does not permit more than 5% of the total value of all investments of fund money to be invested in entities which are connected with that authority within the meaning of section 212 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007(e).

The Regulations also require the Fund to have regards to the diversification of its investments. The overall strategic benchmark comprises a mix of different assets which provides considerable diversification for the Fund. Table 1 outlines both the long-term target and the interim stage, reflecting the time it takes to fund new mandates. The table also includes the maximum percentage (reflected by the upper limit set for rebalancing) of total Fund value that it will seek to have invested in these assets.

The strategic balance of investment takes account of the risk/return characteristics of each asset class e.g. the potential for higher long term returns from equity is balanced against an expectation of higher levels of short term volatility from this asset class; and by looking at the strategy as a whole and the interaction of the asset classes the Fund holds.

The Committee reviews the nature of Fund investments on a regular basis, with particular reference to suitability and diversification. The Committee seeks and considers written advice from a suitably qualified person in undertaking such a review. If, at any time, investment in a strategy not previously known to the Committee is proposed, appropriate advice is sought and training is provided to help the Committee reach an informed decision.

**Table 1: Fund allocation**

Asset class	Interim Target allocation %	Long-Term Target allocation %	Upper limit for rebalancing %
UK equities	53	50	65
Overseas equities			
Private Equity	5	-	7.5
<b>Total Growth</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>-</b>
Diversified Growth Funds	20	5	25
Infrastructure	5	15	20
Property	-	10	15
Private Debt	-	5	10
<b>Total Income</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>-</b>
Multi-asset credit	5	5	10
Government bonds	10	10	15
Cash	2	-	5
Total Protection	17	15	-

<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>-</b>
--------------	------------	------------	----------

The latest investment strategy review took place during February 2020. At this time the Committee agreed the following updates to the long-term strategic allocation:

- A 5% increase in the allocation to equities;
- A new 5% allocation to private debt;
- A decrease of 10% in the allocation to diversified growth funds (DGFs).

At the same time, long term allocations to property and infrastructure were maintained at the same level (10% and 15% respectively). The Committee is aware that private market investments take time to invest in, with money committed but not drawn down immediately. This investment phase can take several years. During this investment period the Fund will retain its exiting target allocation to DGFs which will be monitored and regularly assessed in anticipation of its longer-term reduction in size.

The Fund's actual investment arrangements will deviate from their target over time and therefore regular monitoring takes place to prevent too much deviation from the desired strategic allocation. The rebalancing arrangements in place set out in the final section of this report.

Further details on the Fund's risks, including the approach to mitigating risks, is provided in the following section.

### Restrictions on investment

The Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016 have removed the previous restrictions that applied to the 2009 Regulations. The Fund has agreed a number of its own restrictions as set out in the table below. All other investment restrictions will be negotiated with fund managers or the London CIV, subject to the Fund receiving appropriate investment and/or legal advice.

**Table 2: Investment Restrictions**

Type of investment	Maximum investment by the Fund % of assets
1. Contributions invested in any single partnership	5%
2. Contributions invested in partnerships	30%
3. Cash deposits	10%
4. Investment with any single manager strategy either directly or via the London CIV (excluding investments in passive index tracking strategies)	15%
5. Total investment in illiquid assets	30%

### Managers

The Committee has appointed a number of investment managers all of whom are authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 to undertake investment business.

The Committee, after seeking appropriate investment advice, has agreed specific benchmarks with each manager so that, in aggregate, they are consistent with the overall asset allocation for the Fund. The Fund's investment managers will hold a mix of investments which reflects their views relative to their respective benchmarks. Within each major market and asset class, the managers will maintain diversified portfolios through direct investment or pooled vehicles. The managers of the passive funds in which the Fund invests hold a mix of investments within each pooled fund that reflects that of their respective benchmark indices.

### Risk Measurement and Management

The Committee is aware that the Fund has a need to take risk (e.g. investing in growth-oriented assets) to help it achieve its funding objectives. It has a risk management programme in place that aims to help it identify the risks being taken and put in place processes to manage, measure, monitor and (where possible) mitigate the risks being taken. One of the Committee's overarching beliefs is to only take as much investment risk as is necessary.

The principal risks affecting the Fund are set out below. We also discuss the Fund's approach to managing these risks and the contingency plans that are in place:

#### Funding risks

- Financial mismatch – The risk that Fund assets fail to grow in line with the developing cost of meeting the liabilities.
- Changing demographics – The risk that longevity improves and other demographic factors change, increasing the cost of Fund benefits.
- Environmental, social and governance ("ESG") – The risk that ESG related factors (including climate change) reduce the Fund's ability to generate the long-term returns and/or have implications on the Fund's liabilities.
- Systemic risk – The possibility of an interlinked and simultaneous failure of several asset classes and/or investment managers, possibly compounded by financial 'contagion', resulting in an increase in the cost of meeting the Fund's liabilities.

The Committee measures and manages financial mismatch in two ways. As indicated above, the Committee has set a long-term strategic asset allocation benchmark for the Fund. This benchmark was set taking into account asset liability modelling which focused on probability of success and level of downside risk.

The Committee assesses risk relative to the strategic benchmark by monitoring the Fund's asset allocation and investment returns relative to the benchmark. The Committee also assesses risk relative to liabilities by monitoring the delivery of benchmark returns relative to liabilities.

The Committee also seeks to understand the assumptions used in any analysis and modelling so they can be compared to their own views and the level of risks associated with these assumptions to be assessed.

The Fund is a long-term investor and therefore, the Committee seeks to act as a responsible asset owner. Further details of the Fund's approach to managing ESG risks are set out later in this document.

The Committee seeks to mitigate systemic risk through holding a diversified portfolio, but appreciate it is not possible to make specific provision for all possible eventualities that may arise under this heading.

#### Asset risks

- Concentration – The risk that a significant allocation to any single asset category and its underperformance relative to expectation would result in difficulties in achieving funding objectives.

- Illiquidity – The risk that the Fund cannot meet its immediate liabilities because it has insufficient liquid assets. Also the risk that, due to the illiquid nature of the asset classes, the Fund cannot implement its agreed investment strategy on a timely basis.
- Currency risk – The risk that the currency of the Fund's assets underperforms relative to Sterling (i.e. the currency of the liabilities).
- Manager underperformance – The failure by the fund managers to achieve the rate of investment return assumed in setting their mandates.

The Committee measure and manage asset risks as follows.

The Fund's strategic asset allocation benchmark invests in a diversified range of asset classes. The Committee has rebalancing arrangements to ensure, where possible, the Fund's "actual allocation" does not deviate substantially from its target.

The Fund invests in a range of investment mandates each of which has a defined objective, performance benchmark and manager process which, taken in aggregate, help reduce the Fund's asset concentration risk.

By investing across a range of assets, including liquid quoted equities and bonds, the Committee has recognised the need for access to liquidity in the short term. The Fund also holds liquid diversifying assets over the period while the agreed exposure to less liquid alternatives are being built up.

The Fund invests in a range of overseas markets which provides a diversified approach to currency markets; the Committee also assess the Fund's currency risk during their risk analysis.

The Committee has considered the risk of underperformance by any single investment manager and have attempted to reduce this risk by appointing more than one manager and having a large proportion of the Fund's assets managed on a passive basis. The Committee assess the Fund's managers' performance on a regular basis, and will take steps, including potentially replacing one or more of their managers, if underperformance persists. Other factors include, but are not limited to, the Committee believing that the manager is not capable of achieving these performance objectives in the future, and/or the manager's company status changes or there are significant staff changes to their investment team. The Fund also has regular correspondence with the London CIV regarding their managers and their approach to monitoring and assessing managers.

#### Other provider risk

- Transition risk - The risk of incurring unexpected costs in relation to the transition of assets among managers. When carrying out significant transitions, the Committee seeks suitable professional advice.
- Custody risk – The risk of losing economic rights to Fund assets, when held in custody or when being traded.
- Credit default – The possibility of default of a counterparty in meeting its obligations.
- Stock-lending – The possibility of default and loss of economic rights to Fund assets.

The Committee monitors and manages risks in these areas through a process of regular scrutiny of its providers, and audit of the operations it conducts for the Fund, or has delegated such monitoring and management of risk to the appointed investment managers as appropriate (e.g. custody risk in relation to pooled funds). The Committee has the power to replace a provider should serious concerns exist. Further details of the Fund's approach to pooling and relationship with the London CIV is provided in the section below.

A separate schedule of risks that the Fund monitors is set out in the Fund's Funding Strategy Statement (Appendix C).

The approach to pooling investments, including the use of collective investment vehicles and shared services

The Fund is a participating scheme in the London Collective Investment Vehicle (CIV). The proposed structure and basis on which the London CIV will operate was set out in the July 2016 submission to government.

### Assets to be invested in the pool

The Fund's intention is to invest its assets through the London CIV as and when suitable Pool investment solutions become available. An indicative timetable for investing through the Pool was set out in the July 2016 to government and is reviewed on a regular basis. The key criteria for assessment of Pool solutions will be as follows:

- 1 The pool enables access to an appropriate solution that is consistent with the beliefs, objectives and benchmark criteria set by the Fund and/or
- 2 There is a benefit to the Fund in investing in the solution offered by the pool.

At the time of preparing this statement the Fund has already invested the following assets via the London CIV (see table below).

Asset class	Manager
Diversified Growth Fund	Baillie Gifford
Diversified Growth Fund	Ruffer
Multi-asset Credit	CQS
Emerging Markets Equities	JP Morgan
Infrastructure	StepStone
Private Debt	Tbc

In addition, the Fund has passive equity exposure to LGIM and BlackRock both of which have LGPS specific fee scales in place and have benefits of scale due to being pooled with the managers' other clients' assets. The LCIV consider these passive investments to fall under the pool umbrella.

In time, as set out in the indicative timetable for investing through the pool and in line with one of the Committee's stated beliefs, the intention is for all assets (subject to due diligence) to be transitioned into the London CIV. The exceptions to this are the Fund's passive assets and the Fund's existing investments in closed ended funds (which will run-off over time). The Fund reserves the right to invest its assets outside London CIV where suitable Pool investment solutions are not available.

### Structure and governance of the London CIV

The July 2016 submission to government of the London CIV pool provided a statement addressing the structure and governance of the pool, the mechanisms by which the Fund can hold the pool to account and the services that will be shared or jointly procured. Over time the structure and governance of the Pool may evolve at which point the Fund will outline such details in the ISS. In the meantime, further information is provided on the London CIV's website (<https://londonciv.org.uk/>)

All participants of the CIV are both shareholders and investors in the London CIV company and the governance structure enables both formal and informal scrutiny.

### **How social, environmental or corporate governance considerations are taken into account in the selection, non-selection, retention and realisation of investments**

The Fund invests on the basis of financial risk and return, having considered a full range of factors, including environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) factors where these present financial risks to the delivery of portfolio objectives and therefore impact on the sustainability of the Fund's returns.

The Fund therefore requires its investment managers to integrate all material financial factors, including ESG considerations, into their investment analysis and decision-making for all fund investments.

The Fund's Investment Managers (and specifically the London Collective Investment Vehicle through which the Fund will increasingly invest) are also expected to undertake appropriate monitoring of current investments with regard to their policies and practices on all issues which could present a material financial risk to the long-term performance of the fund, including ESG factors. The Fund monitors this activity on an ongoing basis with the aim of maximising its impact and effectiveness.

Where appropriate, the Committee considers how it wishes to approach specific ESG factors in the context of its role in asset allocation and investment strategy setting. Taking into account the ratification in October 2016 of the Paris Agreement, the Committee considers that significant exposure to fossil fuel reserves within the Fund's portfolio could pose a material financial risk. As a result, the Committee has committed to undertaking a Carbon Risk Audit for the Fund, quantifying the Fund's exposure through its equity portfolio to fossil fuel reserves and power generation and where the greatest risks lie.

Once this audit has taken place the Committee intends to develop a plan to reduce the Fund's carbon exposure. The plan will be periodically reviewed to ensure that it remains consistent with the risks associated with investment in carbon assets and with the Committee's fiduciary duties.

A key consideration in developing this plan, including the setting of any intermediate targets, will be the London CIV's own plans to reduce the carbon exposure of the funds it oversees. Currently, c30% of the Fund's assets sit directly with the London CIV and this percentage is expected to grow over time. Once passive investments through LGIM and BlackRock are included, c90% of the Fund's assets can be considered to be pooled.

At this stage, the Committee has not set a target timeframe for the Fund to become carbon neutral. This will be considered in more detail as part of the plan to reduce the Fund's carbon exposure. Some flexibility may be appropriate to allow the Fund to adjust the pace of the transition in the light of changing financial conditions or technological advances in certain sectors.

The Committee considers exposure to carbon risk in the context of its role in asset allocation and investment strategy setting. Consideration has therefore been given in setting the Fund's Investment Strategy to how this objective can be achieved within a pooled investment structure and the Committee, having taken professional advice, will work with the London CIV to ensure that suitable strategies are made available.

Where necessary, the Fund will also engage with its Investment Managers or the London CIV to address specific areas of carbon risk. The Fund expects its investment managers to integrate financially material ESG factors into their investment analysis and decision making and may engage with managers and the London CIV to ensure that the strategies it invests in remain appropriate for its needs.

The Committee consider the Fund's approach to responsible investment in two key areas:

- **Sustainable investment / ESG factors** – considering the financial impact of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors (including climate change) on its investments.
- **Stewardship and governance** – acting as responsible and active investors/owners, through considered voting of shares, and engaging with investee company management as part of the investment process.

In light of the latest investment strategy review and the Fund's increased focus and importance of responsible investment, the Fund has bolstered its beliefs in this area, specifically:

- Ongoing engagement and collaborative investment practices will affect positive change through the powers of collective influence.
- We must act as responsible owners
- The Fund's investment managers should embed the consideration of ESG factors into their investment process and decision-making

More detail on these beliefs can be found in the appendix.

The Committee takes ESG matters very seriously. Its investment beliefs include explicit statements relating to ESG and climate change. The ESG criteria of its existing investment investments are assessed on an ongoing basis and ESG is a key consideration when assessing the relative merits of any potential new Fund investments. The Fund also conducts an annual review of its:

- Policies in this area,
- Investment managers' approach to responsible investing; and
- Members' training needs and implements training to reflect these needs.

At the present time the Committee does not take into account non-financial factors when selecting, retaining, or realising its investments. The Committee understand the Fund is not able to exclude investments in order to pursue boycotts, divestment and sanctions against foreign nations and UK defence industries.

The London CIV itself is committed to responsible investment and duly recognises the role of ESG factors in the investment decision making process, evidenced by its own 'responsible investment policy'. The Fund is supportive of this and will monitor the policy on a regular basis as more assets transfer into the pool to ensure consistency with its own beliefs. Details of the investment managers' governance principles can be found on their websites.

### **The exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to investments**

#### **Voting rights**

The Committee wishes to be an active shareholder and exercise its voting rights to ensure the highest standards of governance and promoting corporate responsibility in the underlying companies in which its investments reside. The Fund recognises that ultimately this protects the financial interests of the Fund and its ultimate beneficiaries..

In practice, the Committee has delegated the exercise of voting rights to the investment manager(s) on the basis that voting power will be exercised by them with the objective of preserving and enhancing long term shareholder value. Accordingly, the Fund's managers have produced written guidelines of their process and practice in this regard. The managers are strongly encouraged to vote in line with their guidelines in respect of all resolutions at annual and extraordinary general meetings of companies under Regulation 7(2)(f). The Committee monitor the voting decisions made by all its investment managers on at least an annual basis.

The Fund's investments through the London CIV are covered by the voting policy of the CIV which has been agreed by LCIV's Pensions Sectoral Joint Committee. Voting is delegated to the external managers and monitored on a quarterly basis. The CIV will arrange for managers to vote in accordance with voting alerts issued by the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF) as far as practically possible to do so and will hold managers to account where they have not voted in accordance with the LAPFF directions.

### Stewardship

The Committee has formally agreed to adhere to the Stewardship Code as published by the Financial Reporting Council. The Committee expects both the London CIV and any directly appointed fund managers to also comply with the Stewardship Code and this is monitored on an annual basis.

The Committee is willing to consider potential investor collaboration opportunities if such opportunities offer the scope to improve engagement with companies and help increase the potential for long-term sustainable returns to be achieved. In the spirit of collective engagement, the Fund became a member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF) in 2019, through which it collaborates with other LGPS funds to magnify its voice and maximise the influence of investors as asset owner across a range of corporate governance issues. In addition, the Fund expects its investment managers to work collaboratively with others if this will lead to greater influence and deliver improved outcomes for shareholders and more broadly.

DRAFT

## Appendix – Investment Beliefs

**Clear and well defined objectives are essential to achieve future success** - the Committee is aware that there is a need to generate a sufficient level of return from the Fund's assets, while at the same time having a clear understanding of the potential risks and ensuring there is sufficient liquidity available to pay members' benefits as they fall due.

**Strategic asset allocation is a key determinant of risk and return, and thus is typically more important than manager or stock selection** - the Committee understands that having the appropriate strategy in place is a key driver of the Fund's future success. As a result, priority is given to more strategic investment matters. The Committee is aware that there is need to take investment risk in order to generate a sufficient level of return.

**Return and risk should be considered relative to the Fund's liabilities, funding position and contribution strategy** – the Committee believes that as the funding position of the Fund improves, the level of risk taken by the Fund should reduce as appropriate i.e. only take as much risk as necessary. The Committee believes that there exists a relationship between the level of investment risk taken and the rate of expected investment return. In reducing risk, the Fund's expected return would typically also reduce.

**Long term investing provides opportunities for enhancing returns** - As a long-term investor it is important that the Fund acts as an asset owner. As a long term investor, the Fund may choose to gain additional compensation by investing in assets that are illiquid or may be subject to higher levels of volatility (a premium return is required for any such investments).

**Environmental, social and corporate governance ('ESG') issues can have a material impact on the long term performance of its investments** - the Committee recognises that ESG issues can impact the Fund's returns. The Committee commits to an ongoing development of its ESG policy to ensure it reflects latest industry developments and regulations and ESG is integrated into strategic considerations.

**Climate change and the expected transition to a low carbon economy is a long term financial risk to Fund outcomes** - the Committee recognises that environmental issues can impact the Fund's returns. The Committee aims to be aware of, and monitor, financially material environmental-related risks and issues through the Fund's investment managers and advisors.

**Ongoing engagement is preferable to divestment** – The Committee believes that, in relation to ESG risks, ongoing engagement with investee companies is preferable to divestment. This engagement may be via our managers or alongside other investors (e.g. LAPFF). Where, over a considered period however, there is no evidence of a company making visible progress towards carbon reduction, we believe that divestment should be actively considered.

**We must act as responsible owners** – As asset owners in the 21st Century, we believe it is our responsibility to support the transition to a low carbon global economy, consistent with the aims of the Paris 2016 Climate Change agreement to limit temperature increases by 2050 to a maximum of 2oC degrees.

**The Fund's investment managers should embed the consideration of ESG factors into their investment process and decision-making** – Investment managers are responsible for implementing the Fund's strategy. In this role, the managers should reflect the Fund's desire for achieving long-term sustainable returns and improve corporate behaviour.

**Equities are expected to generate superior long term returns** - the Committee believes that, over the longer term, equities are expected to outperform other liquid assets, in particular government bonds. However the Committee also recognise that equities can be highly volatile over the short-term.

**Diversification reduces the overall volatility of the Fund's asset returns** - the Committee believes that diversification across asset classes can help reduce the volatility of the Fund's overall asset value and improve its risk-return characteristics. However, the Committee also recognise that there is scope to over diversify and that any desire to diversify needs to be aligned to the Fund's governance arrangements.

**Passive management has a role to play in the Fund's structure** - The Committee recognises that passive management allows the Fund to access certain asset classes (e.g. equities) on a low cost basis and when combined with active management can help reduce the relative volatility of the Fund's performance. There is a belief that passive management is most suitable for markets that are deemed as being more efficient such as developed market equities.

**Active management can add value but is not guaranteed** - the Committee recognises that certain asset classes can only be accessed via active management. The Committee also recognises that active managers may be able to generate higher returns for the Fund (net of fees), or similar returns but at lower volatility, than equivalent passive exposure. There is a belief that active management is most suitable for markets that are deemed as being less efficient e.g. emerging market equities, specialist markets e.g. infrastructure or where views on the relative value of different asset classes are a targeted source of value e.g. DGF mandates.

**Private markets can offer opportunities** - Private markets can offer opportunities and give higher return due to higher illiquidity premia. However it is recognised that private markets can be more expensive, less transparent (e.g. fees and drivers of return), increase the Fund's governance burden and require ongoing maintenance to achieve target exposure. Such factors must be taken into account when considering such an allocation.

**Choice of benchmark index matters** - the Committee recognises that, for each asset class, there is a range of benchmark indices that they could use. As a result, the Committee focus on the benchmark's underlying characteristics and consider how they may be appropriate for the Fund.

**Rebalancing policies are important** – the Committee recognises that rebalancing the Fund's assets towards the strategic asset allocation is important in achieving the Fund's longer term objectives, in particular following a period of strong or weak market performance.

**Fees and transaction costs matter** - The Committee considers the fees and costs of its investment arrangements to ensure the Fund is getting value for money and to minimise, as far as possible, any cost leakages from its investment process. It also does not seek to move in and out of investments regularly due to the cost drag. The Committee also seek to have transparency on the fees that it is paying to its providers.

**Governance "budget" matters** – The Committee recognises that the resources (and time) involved in deciding upon (and implementing) an investment strategy and structure play a part in any investment decisions made. A low governance approach to accessing markets is likely to be preferred if it can offer similar risk adjusted returns to alternative approaches.

**The London CIV is the Fund's preferred approach to implementation** – the Committee recognises the potential benefits of LGPS pooling. Their preferred route is to implement their investment strategy via the London CIV, subject to carrying out suitable due diligence on the CIV's investment offering.