

Complaints Received over the last 24 months

Resolved at Initial Assessment Stage

	Ref	Complainant (s)	Details of Complaint	Outcome	Review Requested	Review Outcome
1	23.10.18	x6 Councillor(s)	Complaint regarding comments made, and published on social media (blog) by a Cllr about his removal from a committee.	Decision under Initial Assessment Criteria: Complaint was not considered to disclose sufficiently serious potential breach of the Code to merit further consideration as the Cllr was entitled to express the views he did as a matter of freedom of speech.	No	N/A
2	18.04.20	Member of Public	Complaint regarding email conduct of Cllr.	Decision under Initial Assessment Criteria: Complaint is not considered to disclose sufficiently serious potential breaches of the Code to merit further consideration. The email concerned was perhaps intemperate but was not intimidating, threatening of abusive as alleged.	Yes	N/A – submitted out of time
3	7.11.19	Member of Public	Complaint about wording of a Cllr’s response to a previous complaint	Decision under Initial Assessment Criteria: Complaint was not considered to disclose any conduct within the ambit of the Code. The complaint was trivial and the Cllr expressed views she was entitled to hold in a way which could not reasonably be interpreted as bullying or intimidation.	No	N?A

Resolved at Assessment Stage

	Ref	Complainant (s)	Details of Complaint	Findings/Outcome	Review Requested	Review Outcome
4	11.10.19	Member of the Public	Complaint regarding breach of conduct by Cllr in relation to declarations of interest and conduct with others.	Decision under Assessment Criteria: No breach of the Code. The Cllr’s dealing with officers concerning the business referred to in the complaint were not inappropriate and there was no evidence of bullying.	Yes	Initial Decision upheld - No new or different information provided
5	29.02.20	Member of the public	Complaint regarding breach of conduct by Cllr in an interaction with members of the public.	Decision under Assessment Criteria: No breach of the Code. The Cllr’s dealing with officers concerning the organisation referred to in the complaint were not inappropriate. The Cllrs communication could have more temperate but was not intimidating or threatening and an apology had been made.	Yes	Initial Decision upheld - No new or different information provided
6	27.05.20	Member of the Public	Complaint regarding failure to advocate effectively on behalf of the complaint and others and disclosure of information.	Decision under Assessment Criteria: No breach of the Code. The Cllr had acted appropriately and had apologised for missing one meeting.	Yes	Initial Decision upheld - No new or different information provided

Upheld

	Ref	Complainant (s)	Details of Complaint	Findings/Outcome	Review Requested	Review Outcome
7	06.05.20	x4 Members of the public	Complaint regarding breach of conduct by Cllr in relation to material posted on social media (WhatsApp)	Decision under Assessment Criteria: Finding of a breach of the Code without an investigation. Inadequate care had been taken in posting material to a residents WhatsApp group and offensive material had been posted.	No	N/A