

	<p style="text-align: center;">Executive 15th January 2007</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Report from the Director of Housing and Community Care</p>
<p>For Action Wards Affected: ALL</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">Options for Older People Day Services</p>	

Forward Plan Ref: H&CC-06/07-09

Appendix 2 of this report is not *Not for publication ('below the line') as it contains the following exempt information as specified in Paragraph 3, Schedule 12a of the Local Government Act 1972, namely:

Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information)

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to obtain from the Executive agreement for the overall strategy for the future provision of day services for older people and the re-provision of statutory day services and the contracts with the voluntary sector.
- 1.2 The current lease for the building accommodating Dollis Hill Day Centre expires in November 2007 and a decision needs to be made about its future.
- 1.3 The current negotiated contracts for older people day care provided by the voluntary sector expire in March 2007 and a decision needs to be made on how the services are continued.

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Executive approve the strategy for the future provision of day centres as set out in paragraph 3.2 of the report.

2.2 **Reprovision of Dollis Hill Day Centre:**

For the Executive to approve the transfer of the service at Dollis Hill Day Centre from Dollis Hill Industrial Estate to an appropriate alternative site, subject to a further report to the Executive

2.3 **Contracts with the Voluntary Sector:**

That the Executive agrees that current contracts with Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre, Brent Irish Advisory Service (BIAS) and Asian Community Care Services (ACCS) be extended to 30 November 2007 to allow sufficient time for the Council to test the market in the services covered by these contracts by inviting expressions of interest to establish if there are any viable alternative providers. If more than one potential provider comes forward for any package of the services then a full tendering process should be followed for that package and the Executive agrees that only the service currently provided by Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre will then be treated as a High Value contract for the purpose of the Contract Standing Orders.

2.4 The Executive is asked to agree:

- (i) that for any service currently covered by a contract with either Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre, BIAS or ACCS, if no alternative providers come forward then there is no requirement to tender for that service on the basis that there is only one provider as set out in the Standing Order 86(e)(i), and
- (ii) to delegate to the Director of Housing & Community Care for any falling within (i) the decision to award a new three year contract to the organisation currently carrying out the service concerned, on completion of satisfactory negotiations.

2.5 That the Executive agrees an exemption from the tendering requirements of the Council's Standing Orders to permit negotiations to continue with Elders Voice with a view to finalising a contract for a day care service to commence from 2 April 2007 for three years on the basis of good operational and financial reasons as set out in section 3.6 of the report.

2.6 That the Executive agrees that officers from Older People Services review and evaluate the services provided by Mission Dine, New Testament and West Indian Self Effort and report back to the Executive on the future commissioning and funding of these organisations.

3.0 **Detail**

3.1. **Background**

In 2004 Brent Social Services and Brent Primary Care Trust (PCT) commissioned a Review of Day Services for Older People in Brent. Although there had been some considerable investment in day services over the years (from the Council, PCT, Lottery, Neighbourhood Renewal Funds, as well as other voluntary sector fund-raising initiatives) this investment had not been made within a strategic framework and as a result there is a patchwork of day services within the borough.

The Review was commissioned to consider the 'whole system' of day services for older people in Brent, in collaboration with stakeholders and to develop a service model that would seek to respond to the expectations of service users, carers,

service providers and commissioners. The 'whole systems' referred to the mental health day hospital, the Local Authority day services through to voluntary sector day centres and luncheon clubs. The Review was overseen by a Steering Group nominated by the Brent Implementation Group for Older People and an external consultant was commissioned to undertake the work. The Review commenced in April 2004 and was completed in January 2005. The current services are described in Appendix 1 but a summary of the main issues from the review follows.

There is no specific Department of Health Guidance in relation to day services for older people

3.1.1 **Council Provision**

The Council services at Dollis Hill Day Centre and Westbrook Day Centre currently offer a multi-cultural service for people meeting the Fair Access to Care Services (FACS) criteria only. Dollis Hill is for older people with physical disability/frailty and Westbrook is for older people with moderate/severe dementia. Dollis Hill Day Centre is provided from a privately owned building which is not entirely suitable for this use being poorly located on a former industrial estate with no outside or green space and an inadequate interior, on which the Council had been negotiating a lease extension until November 2007, although it may be possible to negotiate a further extension. However, plans need to be made for moving this service as a priority. The voluntary sector has insufficient capacity and inappropriate buildings, the PCT has no appropriate buildings to enable discussions to take place about providing a jointly run day service. The movement of the Council service to an alternative building is therefore a priority.

3.1.2 **Voluntary Sector**

While some communities are relatively well served by the voluntary sector (e.g. Caribbean communities), other communities are less so, particularly former refugees, such as Cypriot, Somali and Bosnian-Herzegovinan and also Muslim communities. Despite the outcome of the review where the preference is for individual separate centres, current providers recognise the need for improved collaboration and joint working to make more effective use of available resources and that there need to be new joint initiatives between the statutory and voluntary sectors to meet gaps in provision. Many established day services are feeling under pressure as their population ages and their service users need higher levels of support and personal care. Most voluntary sector day services are not equipped to provide care services for people with higher levels of personal care need: this is due to low staffing levels and poor physical infrastructure. ACCS, BIAS, Elders Voice and New Testament are actively pursuing alternative accommodation which is more suitable for accommodating higher needs service users.

3.1.3 **Gaps in Provision**

The outcome of the review was that the current providers and service users wanted the current pattern of day services to continue, so that there is a continuation of different organisations providing services for their own local or ethnic minority community in their own centres. Some of these day service places are for people who are isolated and lonely ("preventative day services") and some places are purchased by the Council for people with higher levels of need meeting the FACS criteria.

The review identified that there are gaps in current day care provision: in the north of the borough, in services for people with mental health needs (including dementia) and there are some communities who do not feel that current provision

(neither the Council nor the voluntary sector) meets the needs of their communities and want to secure Council funding for their own groups. All organisations reported that they felt they were under funded and needed more resources and there were an increasing number of referrals for service users to attend who had more complex needs including higher levels of personal care and dementia. Clearly all these needs cannot be met without considerable investment in day services.

3.1.4 ***LB Brent and Brent tPCT Joint Commissioning Strategy 2004-2009***

It was this joint strategy with the PCT which resulted in commissioning the day services review. Since its publication the Department of Health has published its green paper 2005 'Independence, Well Being and Choice' and the white paper 'Our Health, Our Care, Our Say' (2006). They were subject to wide national consultation and received broad approval for the direction of social care services to focus, with health partners, on prevention, choice and putting people in control of their own services. The strategy for this has also been developed in Brent through direct payments, where cash is given to individuals to buy their own care. Details on this were reported to the Executive in the report on day care opportunities for people with disabilities on 11th September 2006. The Department of Health is also piloting through 'in control' projects the use of individual budgets in some authorities.

The white paper recognises that not everyone wants to manage a direct payment. Individual budgets propose that funding for care (and possibly funding from other sources such as education) be put into a budget for an individual for them to direct the local authority on how to spend it, and critically what services to purchase. This is likely to mean budgets tied up in commissioned services will have to be released if an individual wants to use the budget to purchase care from another provider. More detailed guidance on this will be issued in 2007/08.

3.1.5 ***Direct Payments:***

Brent has increased the numbers of people on direct payments fourfold over the last 3 years (current number is 130) but it still has one of the lowest numbers of people on direct payments. This is a key performance indicator. Older people are entitled to direct payments but only 30 have so far taken them up. The use of direct payments are key to promoting independence, can provide respite for carers, and be more person-centred.

3.1.6 ***Purpose of Day Services:***

Traditionally day services have focussed on providing centres for people to be transported to, often for only four hours a day. The white paper stresses the need for advice, information and advice giving, promoting healthy lifestyles to be part of joint strategies, as well as providing more choice for service users.

The day service strategy in consultation with providers, users and carers needs to consider how to provide more individualised access to leisure and community services through the use of direct payments and individual budgets that does not rely on centre based activities alone. This has budget implications with the need to estimate the balance of services needed over the next 5 years.

As direct payments can not be used to purchase 'in-house' services the development of services in the independent sector should offer more flexibility for users.

3.1.7 **Support for Carers**

Respite care is vital to enable carers to continue to provide support for users. For many the use of day care provides this, however there is likely to be growing interest in the use of a direct payment, to employ a carer directly either to provide respite at home, or enable the user to access community services such as a library or leisure centre.

3.2 **The Future Day Services of Older People in Brent**

- The Council will continue to focus upon older people with complex needs and long term conditions who meet the FACS criteria, currently set at meeting critical and substantial needs, supporting them to promote their independence, social participation and wellbeing, linking them to wider opportunities in the community. Council funded day places will be purchased that provide responsive care for vulnerable older people, respite for carers, help minimise the demand for institutional care and ensure equal access to services by individuals in local communities.
- The Council will explore ways of funding local day resources in partnership with voluntary sector partners to help ensure that quality day services are provided in the voluntary sector.
- The Council will work with the PCT to link Day Centres and Healthy Living Centres together to promote wellbeing and health promotion within day centres.
- Priorities may need to be changed as different needs are identified, depending on overall resource availability. The overall strategy being to develop a network of day services in Brent providing opportunities for vulnerable older people with personal care support; creative, meaningful activities; advice; educational opportunities such as an internet cafe; volunteering; healthy living and health input such as stroke awareness and podiatry advice; and carer support, in buildings that are accessible for people with disabilities.
- Direct payments or individual budgets are most likely to be used where there is family/carers able to assist in their use as a direct payment has to be directed by the user. For people living on their own, and with varying levels of capacity, with more complex needs, the use of a day care service can be crucial in order to support a user wishing to remain in their own home.

3.3 **Current Day Services in the Statutory and Voluntary Sector that will be Affected by Change**

3.3.1 **Council Provided Day Care**

The extension of the Council's lease on the building housing Dollis Hill Day Centre is being negotiated to expire in November 2007. The landlord had submitted a planning application to redevelop their site. However, this application was refused and on 10th April 2006 and the Executive approved the making of a Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) of land, including the Day Centre, in connection with the construction of a new John Kelly School. The CPO has not yet been made. The

CPO is required to enable the redevelopment of the adjacent school. As part of these plans there may be scope for further extension of the lease and the possibility of re-provision of the Day Centre as part of the redevelopment. However this is likely to take a few years to reach fruition.

If the CPO was eventually not confirmed for any reason, then the developers might seek to pursue their planning application for the site. However, the Council has opposed this as insufficient provision for community use has been included. Accordingly, again there is scope to seek the provision of the day centre as part of this scenario.

However, having said this, it still remains that the current building is unsuitable for a day centre for older people and the location of the site is not accessible by public transport. It is therefore in the best interests of providing an improved day service for older people that an alternative location is found from which to deliver this service for vulnerable people to help them to remain living in the community for as long as possible.

Westbrook Day Centre will continue to operate as presently.

3.3.2 ***Voluntary Sector Contracts and Grants***

The existing Council contracts for the provision of day care services by Sudbury Neighbourhood Day Centre, Brent Irish Advisory Service (BIAS) and Asian Community Care Service (ACCS) come to an end on 31st March 2007. These contracts were entered into following approval from the Executive, on 18 November 2002, with an exemption from the Council's Contract Standing Orders from following a tendering process for good financial and operational reasons, to allow negotiations between the Council and the three organisations which had previously been in receipt of grant for the services they provided.

Contracts commenced on 1 April 2003 and initially ran until 31 March 2006. These were subsequently extended for one year by the Director of Housing & Community Care in accordance with Part 4 Paragraph 3 of the Constitution. In the report submitted to the Executive in November 2002 it was set out that a market testing exercise would be carried out at the end of the contract period to establish if there were any other providers who could deliver similar services. It was anticipated that during the period of the contracts full consultation with the services users and service providers could take place concerning the impact and implications of tendering in order to minimise disruption and distress of any future tendering exercise. This is ongoing and will be completed immediately prior to any market testing process in accordance with what the Executive agrees.

On 18 November 2002 the Executive also agreed that a contract could be negotiated with Elders Voice. Meetings have been held with the organisation and although a draft document has been produced and the organisation is working towards delivering the service as specified, a formal contract has not been executed. As a result, this organisation is still in receipt of grant funding. West Indian Self Effort (WISE), New Testament and Mission Dine are also grant funded through Older People Services.

The Council also purchases a number of individual placements at a variety of day centres and voluntary organisations for specific clients. Some of these are additional places in grant funded organisations and some are with independent

organisations. The majority are for culturally specific services and the remainder are specialist centres for certain conditions such as Alzheimer's.

(See Appendix 1 for further details)

3.4 Options for Dollis Hill Day Centre when the lease expires in November 2007

A number of options were considered in arriving at the recommendation for this:

- close the service down and disperse current users to independent sector day centres
- relocate the service to an existing Council-owned day centre used by two voluntary organisations – this would displace them
- source alternative Council owned premises, or alternative buildings for renting
- possible lease extension (see paragraph 3.3 above)

Closing Dollis Hill Day Centre at the end of the lease would necessitate purchasing 175 sessions of care and transport for people with high level needs from other sources. In the borough there are currently no established private providers of day care. All other day care available in the borough is provided by the voluntary sector. Whilst the purchase of additional sessions would yield increased funding for the voluntary sector it is unlikely that they could accommodate all 175 sessions per week. The voluntary sector providers generally lack capacity to cope with people who have complex needs meeting FACS criteria and many of their buildings are not Disability Discrimination Act compliant having inadequate facilities for a high needs client group. In addition many of the voluntary sector providers offer culturally specific services and there would be a shortfall in the number of places for White UK users. It is also likely that with this option there would be some staff redundancies, although this would not be the case if TUPE applies. Transfer of service users to various locations would be disruptive and unpopular: it is likely that individuals would be split from groups of friends as they were accommodated in different centres.

Another option considered was to relocate Dollis Hill Day Centre to Alric Avenue. The premises here are owned by the Council and currently used by two voluntary organisations to provide day care. One organisation, the Asian People with Disabilities Alliance (APDA) is actively pursuing the development of their own premises and the other West Indian Self Effort provides could have been relocated, possibly to share other premises at Mortimer Road subject to consultation with the groups currently using this Council building. It would also be dependent on APDA co-ordinating any move with the relocation of the day centre. APDA was recently displaced from the Central Middlesex site and this option would cause more significant disruption to service users and organisations. This may have required reduction in the number of places available.

Many buildings in the borough, both Council and independently owned, have been viewed to evaluate whether they could potentially accommodate the relocated Dollis Hill and all had been judged unsuitable. However, it is anticipated that further potential sites could emerge and Officers will continue to seek an appropriate new location.

3.5 Options for Day Service Contracts Due to Expire in March 2007

The current contracts for day care services provided by ACCS, BIAS and Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre expire on 31 March 2007 having already been extended by the Director of Housing & Community Care as far as possible under the provisions of the Council's constitution. These have not yet been market tested by officers as it was not possible to determine exactly what was required by the Council pending the outcome of the Day Service Review and the initial uncertainty around the re-provision of Dollis Hill Day Centre.

The current services provided by ACCS, BIAS and Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre are well received by service users and although they are not currently able to provide care to more than a few service users who meet the FACS criteria at critical and substantial. These services supplement the Council's day care and provide additional culturally specific services. There is a continuing demand for such provision to enable the Council to maximise the number of service users helped to remain at home rather than be admitted to residential care.

Officers therefore recommend that the market is tested to demonstrate value for money for these services and to establish if there are alternative providers of such services. In order to allow time for this to take place, together with service user consultation it is requested that the Executive agreed to extend the current contracts until 30 November 2007.

The services will be advertised to invite any potential providers to express an interest in one or more of the services covered in the current contracts using local, ethnic and community care publications. If more than one potential provider comes forward for any service, officers will proceed to a full tender process for that service or, if no new provider comes forward for any package it is proposed to award new three r contracts to the current provider for that package in accordance with SO 86 (e)(i) on the basis that no alternative provider exists. This award would be subject to the current provider representing value for money, being affordable within available resources and to making a commitment to provide services suitable for services users who meet the FACS criteria at critical and substantial.

3.6 Options for Grant Funded Organisations

The Executive is requested renew their agreement to allow officers to continue to negotiate an agreement with Elders Voice for the provision of day care services to commence on 2 April 2007 and to run for a period of 3 years, subject to the agreed cost of the service not exceeding current available financial resources. At the end of the 3 year period market testing of the service will be carried out. Negotiations have progressed well with this organisation and they have undertaken development work to improve services, and systems to achieve the Council's specification. They are committed to further development to care for higher needs service users and to developing Telecare. The services they currently provide particularly the accident prevention service and Telecare are not available from other sources and are in line with the Council's strategy and Government direction.

For the remaining three organisations who are grant funded through Older People Services officers will review and evaluate the services they provide in line with the strategy for day care services set out in paragraph 3.2 and report back to the

Executive on their findings with proposals for future commissioning and funding arrangements.

3.7 Spot Purchased Day Care

Purchasing day care through a spot arrangement is only carried out as a final option where no other services can meet the service user's needs. This is a valuable route for specialist and specific cultural requirements and no changes to this are proposed in this area.

4.0 Financial Implications

- 4.1 The total budget for Older People Services day services is £1,329,800. This divided as follows:

In house services (Dollis Hill Day Centre and Westbrook)	£720,500
Contracts (Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre, BIAS, ACCS)	£281,100
Grants (Elders Voice, WISE, New Testament, Mission Dine)	£262,100
Spot purchasing	£66,100

(The individual contract values are shown in Appendix 2, which is not for publication)

- 4.2 Depending on the location of an alternative site, investment will be required to convert it to a Day Centre with the necessary facilities. Full financial implications will be included in any subsequent report to Executive.
- 4.3 It is envisaged that any changes approved by members will be contained within these resources although it is not anticipated that there will be any further savings.

5.0 Legal Implications

- 5.1 The local authority has powers to make arrangements for promoting the welfare of older people by virtue of s45 of the Health Services and Public Health Act 1945 to be read in conjunction with the DHSS Circular 19/71 which contains directions issued by the Secretary of State as to the services which the local authority has the power to provide. These services specifically include recreation. The local authority may provide the services alone or by providing independent or private providers.
- 5.2 For those older persons who are disabled then the local authority has a duty to provide recreational facilities under s2 of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970.
- 5.3 The recent case of R (on the application of Bishop) v London Borough of Bromley (2006) involved a decision to close a day centre and make alternative provision for the service users. It required that individual reviews of each service user's care plan were undertaken and that an outline care plan was developed with service users and carers being informed, on an individual basis, if the alternative could not be offered. These reviews were carried out during a formal consultation period. In this case the London Borough of Bromley successfully argued that a full reassessment of needs was not necessary and that transferring day care services to another centre did not engage Article 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998. The guidance in the Bromley case should be followed in respect of any decision to maintain an equivalent level of

service provision for current Dollis Hill service users but from a different delivery point.

- 5.4 In relation to the Day Service Contracts, the outcome of the consultation with service users and service providers should be taken into account by the local authority as part of the decision-making process.
- 5.5 The services covered by this report are health and social services and therefore Part B services as set out in Schedule 3 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2006 and not subject to the full application of the EU Regulations with regards to competitive tendering. However an Interpretive Communication was issued by the European Commission in July 2006 which indicates that the general requirements for transparency, non-discrimination and equal treatment under EU law will normally require advertising and some form of competitive process before contract award even for Part B services, especially if the contract is likely to be of interest to overseas EU providers. It is considered that the proposal to advertise the service but negotiate direct with the current providers if no alternative providers come forward and the proposal that there be a short extension of the existing contracts, are consistent with the Interpretive Communication.
- 5.6 The Council's Contract Standing Orders provide that contracts with a value of £144,371 and above must be let by inviting competitive tenders. For the purpose of applying this standing order, if more than one contract may be let to meet the Council's requirement for a service at a similar time it is the overall value of the service that is the relevant value. The overall value of the services subject to the three existing contracts for day care covered in this report is around £280,000 per year and therefore in excess of this threshold. Standing orders therefore require that a full tendering exercise be undertaken for all three services.
- 5.7 Under Contract Standing Order 84e it is possible for services which would otherwise be subject to a full tendering exercise to be exempt on the basis that there is only one provider able to provide the services. The process described at paragraph 3.5 above should demonstrate the availability of other providers in relation to the services currently provided by Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre, ACCS and BIAS. If after following such a process it is apparent that for any package no other providers exist, then the Executive are being asked to confirm that there is no requirement to follow a full tender process. They are also being asked to delegate to the Director of Housing and Community Care the final decision to award the contracts to the current providers.
- 5.8 If any new providers express interest in these the services currently provided by Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre, ACCS and PIAS come forward, then a full tender process will be followed. As indicated in paragraph 5.6 above, it would be necessary to aggregate the three services in order to assess whether their total value exceeds £500,000 such that the total requirement is treated as a High Value contract under Standing Orders. The effect of this is that High Value contracts need Executive approval both for tendering strategy and to award the contract(s) (SO 88 and Part 4 of the Constitution). Here the Executive is asked at recommendation 2.3 to agree (in the event that alternative service providers are identified) that there will only be a pre-tender strategy report and award report for the service currently provided by Sudbury Town Neighbourhood, as this is much higher value than the other two (see Appendix 2).

5.9 In relation to the proposed contract with Elders Voice, this will be a Medium Value contract under Standing Orders and would normally require tendering. An exemption can be granted from these standing order requirements if there are good operational and/or financial reasons for this (SO 84a). Officers consider that there are good financial and/or operational reasons for continuing to negotiate a contract with the existing provider (currently grant funded) rather than carrying out a formal tendering process. The reasons for this are set out at Section 3.6. 5.10

6.0 Diversity Implications

- 6.1 The majority of service users have some type of disability and the emphasis on services is to promote independence and maximise opportunities to access community facilities. Service users generally reflect the diversity of ethnicity of the borough.
- 6.2 Screening of the proposed changes using the Council's Impact Assessment at the initial and partial levels showed that there are no adverse effects to older communities in Brent and actually the implications of changing day services for older people will improve access to services for more of the smaller and newer communities in the borough.

7.0 Staffing/Accommodation Implications (if appropriate)

- 7.1 If the day care service at Dollis Hill is transferred entirely to Kingsbury Library site then there are no staffing implications other than to consult with them regarding a change of work location. Given that there is no firm proposal in relation to the potential termination of staff at this point no detailed legal implications involved in any proposed termination will be considered until if and when a proposal is made that will result in the dismissal of staff

Background Papers

National Service Framework for Older People, DH. 2001

Review of Day Services for Older People in Brent, January 2005

A Sure Start to Later Life. Social Exclusion Report, Social Exclusion Unit January 2006

A New Ambition for Old Age: Next Steps in Implementing the National Service Framework for Older People DH April 2006.

Green Paper 2005 'Independence, Well Being and Choice'

White Paper 'Our Health, Our Care, Our Say' (2006).

Contact Officers

Ros Howard, Head of Older People Services Tel 020 8937 4030
Jayne Spencer, Section Manager (Contracts) Tel 020 8937 4168

Martin Cheeseman
Director of Housing and Community Care

APPENDIX 1: CURRENT DAY SERVICES FOR OLDER PEOPLE FUNDED BY THE COUNCIL

1. Current Council Services

Name	Places	Average number of users*	Comments
Dollis Hill Day Centre	35	125	Multi-cultural centre
Westbrook Day Centre	15	39	Multi-cultural centre

Dollis Hill Day Centre and Westbrook Day Centres cater wholly or substantially for the complex needs of people with mental or physical health issues and their carers who need tail-lift ambulances and an escort to get to the day centre.

2. Current Day Care Funded in the Voluntary Sector

Housing and Community Care funded day places only

Contracts

Name	Places	Average number of users*	Comments
Sudbury Neighbourhood Centre	60 sessions funded per week	32	Also provides bathing and taking out for shopping per shop. Mainly White UK and Irish users.
Asian Community Care Services	35 sessions funded per week	25	Asian service users
Brent Irish Advisory Service	18 sessions funded per week	18	Irish service users

(Contract values are in Appendix 2 which is not for publication)

Grants

Name	Average number of users*	Grant	Comments
Elders Voice	35	£66,781	Multi Cultural users
West Indian Self Effort	34	£61,104	African-Caribbean users
New Testament	110	£68,256	African-Caribbean users
Mission Dine	34	£11,460	Multi Cultural users

Spot Purchased Day Care

Name	Average number of users*	Comments
SNEH Care	7	Asian (Hindu) users
African-Caribbean People's Organisation	2	African-Caribbean users
Jewish Care (in Barnet)	4	Jewish users
Hamilton House (in Barnet)	1	People with learning disability
Templeton House (in Barnet)	1	People with Alzheimer's
Grace Eyre Foundation (in Barnet)	1	Older people with learning disabilities
Freemantle Trust (out of Borough)	1	Older people with learning disabilities
Alzheimer's	1	People with Alzheimer's

