

**Mini Tender Evaluation Revised Results: Price**

The mini tender evaluation determined the most economically advantageous tender [MEAT] by means of applying the following main criteria:

- 1. Quality 60%
- 2. Price 40%

**Scoring**

Mark out of:	Total 1-5
Question not answered	0
<b>Very Poor</b> – core issues and requirements not addressed or processes not acceptable	1
<b>Poor</b> – reflects a very limited understanding of core issues and requirements.	2
<b>Minimum/ Satisfactory</b> – adequate understanding of core issues and requirements.	3
<b>Good</b> – competent response, reflects good understanding of core issues and requirements.	4
<b>Excellent</b> – detailed response, with a high level of understanding of the core issues and requirements, of working practices and of quality measures required	5

The ‘overall “value” to the Council’ evaluation criterion (which carries a weighting of 20%) was scored as follows:

The Highest offer price will receive the maximum weighted score of 20.

Offers below the highest offer price will be scored as a proportional variance from the Highest offer price using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Offer price submitted}}{\text{Highest offer price}} \times 20\%$$

The resulting weighted score will be rounded up to two decimal places.

**Developer 2 Variant Bid Options 1**

<i>Price / Finance (40%)</i>	<u>Finance section should only be evaluated by financial / technical advisors</u>			SCORE	Weighted Score
<b>Financial proposals</b>	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Council Works, to include robustness of assumptions for Client Specific Fit Out Items.</b>	5	14	4	11.2
	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Developer Works</b>	5	4	2	1.6
	<b>Overage</b>	5	2	4	1.6
	<b>Overall "Value" to Council.</b>		20		20
	<b>Total</b>				34.4

Developer 3 Standard Bid					
<i>Price / Finance (40%)</i>	<u>Finance section should only be evaluated by financial / technical advisors</u>			SCORE	Weighted Score
Financial proposals	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Council Works, to include robustness of assumptions for Client Specific Fit Out Items.</b>	5	14	3	8.4
	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Developer Works</b>	5	4	4	3.2
	<b>Overage</b>	5	2	3	1.2
	<b>Overall "Value" to Council.</b>		20		17.87
	<b>Total</b>				30.67

Developer 3 Variant Bid Options 1 & 2					
<i>Price / Finance (40%)</i>	<u>Finance section should only be evaluated by financial / technical advisors</u>			SCORE	Weighted Score
Financial proposals	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Council Works, to include robustness of assumptions for Client Specific Fit Out Items.</b>	5	14	3	8.4
	<b>Robustness of assumptions for Developer Works</b>	5	4	4	3.2
	<b>Overage</b>	5	2	3	1.2
	<b>Overall "Value" to Council.</b>		20		18.66
	<b>Total</b>				31.46