

PROTOCOL ON CALL-IN

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The basic premise of call-in is that it is a failsafe mechanism enabling non executive Councillors to make the Cabinet, Cabinet Committee, Cabinet Member or an officer making a key decision, re-consider a particular decision if it is of major concern or in Members' eyes profoundly flawed.
- 1.2 The statutory guidance on call-in states that there needs to be an appropriate balance between effectively holding the executive to account, being able to question decisions prior to them being implemented and allowing effective, efficient decision making. It also balances the need to make the process accessible and the need to ensure that call-in procedures are not abused or used to delay or slow down the decision making process.
- 1.3 As call-in can inevitably result in a delay to the implementation of decisions it should not be used for party political purposes to seek to further discuss a decision that some members do not agree with. Equally, the rights of non executive members to call-in a decision and exercise their right to question the decision, the decision maker and consider alternative options needs to be respected.
- 1.4 This protocol is designed to provide a locally agreed framework within which call-in can operate, a clear set of criteria against which an otherwise valid call-in request can be judged and a format for the effective conduct of the meeting considering the call in.

2. WHAT IS A CALL-IN?

- 2.1 A decision made by the council's Cabinet or a Cabinet committee, or a key decision by an officer, can be called in for review before it is implemented. Decisions can be called in by five non-executive members representing more than one political group or by the Scrutiny Committee. If a Cabinet decision is called-in, that decision cannot normally be implemented until it has been considered by a scrutiny committee. An urgency procedure is in place in Standing Orders for any decision that cannot afford to be delayed.
- 2.2 The Scrutiny Committee is required to meet within 15 working days of the date on which a call-in is accepted as valid. The Committee may decide to refer the matter back to the Cabinet or other decision maker, along with the reasons why the Committee thinks it should be reconsidered. The Cabinet or other decision maker will then decide whether to implement the original decision or review the decision based on the views of the Scrutiny Committee. Alternatively the Committee can decide that the matter should not be referred back to the Cabinet or other decision maker in which case the original decision will be implemented.

3. THE CALL-IN PROCESS

- 3.1 A call in request must be submitted in accordance with the requirements of Standing Order 14 within 5 days of the relevant decision being made or in the case of a key decision made by officers within 5 days of the date on which the record of the decision is made publicly available in accordance with the Access to Information Rules. When submitting the call in request members must either complete the call-in form available [LINK]) or include in their written request all the information required by the form. In particular this includes:
 - an explanation as to why they are calling in the decision and if they are calling in all or part of the decision(s).

- an outline of the suggested alternative course of action.

3.2 When a call-in request is submitted to the Deputy Director of Democratic Services which meets the requirements of Standing Order 14(b)((i) – iv) the Deputy Director of Democratic Services will refer it to the Chief Executive, who, in consultation with the Deputy Director Democratic Services (the council's designated Scrutiny Officer) and the Corporate Director of Law & Governance, will decide whether or not an otherwise valid call-in conforms with the following requirements of this protocol. The call-in request will be assessed against the following criteria:

- Is the call-in process being used as a means of gaining information / understanding or discussing general concerns with Members and officers? If this could be achieved through the general overview and scrutiny process or by talking to the relevant officer or lead member informally the call-in will not be valid,
- Does the call-in duplicate a recent call-in on the same issue? If the call-in duplicates another call-in made within the previous 6 months it will not be valid,
- Have the reasons for calling in the decision already been discussed by the Scrutiny Committee? If the reasons for calling in the decision have been discussed by the Scrutiny Committee prior to the decision being made the call-in will not be valid,
- Call-in of a decision of the Cabinet referring a matter to Full Council for consideration will not be valid,
- Call in of operational management decisions taken by officers will not be valid
- If the call in request is considered by the Chief Executive to be frivolous, vexatious or clearly outside the call-in provisions it may be deemed invalid.

Prior to deciding the validity the Corporate Director of Law & Governance and the Deputy Director Democratic Services may seek clarification from the members concerned.

4. THE CONDUCT OF THE CALL-IN MEETING

- 4.1 Scrutiny Committees are official committees of the council and meet in public.
- 4.2 The purpose of a call-in meeting is for non-executive members to examine and consider the decision made by the Cabinet, Cabinet Committee, or officers (in respect of key decisions) and for members of the committee to make suggestions and recommendations they consider appropriate to the decision maker. The Scrutiny Committee meeting provides an opportunity for members to seek clarification of the methodology used in enabling a decision to be made, as well as explore work undertaken by officers culminating in the matter coming before the decision maker .
- 4.3 The relevant Cabinet Portfolio Holder and Chief Officer (or his/her representative) will be invited to attend the scrutiny committee meeting to explain the reasons for the decision, respond to the issues raised in the call-in request and answer questions at the meeting.
- 4.4 It is the chair of the scrutiny committee's responsibility to manage the meeting effectively by applying standing orders, maintaining good discipline and fostering a culture of respect. All contributions to the meeting should go through the chair and the chair should ensure that no purely personal disagreements or comments are allowed to continue.
- 4.5 To ensure that the meeting is effective the procedure at the meeting (subject to the Chair's discretion) shall be as follows:

- (a) The chair will ask a representative of the members who called in the decision to set out the reasons for the call in for up to 5 minutes. In the event that different members have identified different reasons the chair may in their discretion as more than one member to speak in which case the available time shall be shared equally between the members.
- (b) The chair will consider whether to permit any member of the public who has made a request to address the meeting to do so, the rules relating to members of the public addressing a meeting as set out in Standing Orders apply. Members of the public can be allowed to speak for 2 minutes. If a number of requests to speak have been received then the chair should seek to limit the number of contributions to avoid hearing the same points repeated and should seek advice from the Deputy Director Democratic Services about how this should be managed.
- (c) All of the members of the public who it has been agreed will address the meeting will be heard prior to the lead member and any relevant officers being asked to respond to the issues raised by the call-in.
- (d) The lead member shall then be invited to respond to the issues raised in the call in.
- (e) The chair will then invite members of the committee to question the lead member and officers and discuss the issues. Members who are not members of the committee but wish to ask a question can be invited to do so.
- (f) Having considered the call-in invite members of the committee are required to come to one of the following conclusions:
 - That the matter should be referred back to the decision maker for reconsideration with reasons for its request and what the committee wants the decision maker to do.
 - That it does not object to the decision and the decision can be implemented.