

Do you have any comments about the introduction of a PSPO in the London Borough of Brent surrounding area?

Yes, PSPO should be introduced and enforced

E scooters must be banned completely as they cause great hazard to everyone, drivers, pedestrians and themselves as well.

Please also include loud car stereos in the action that is being taken. It often happens in Flowers Close that people congregate around cars with its doors or boot open and playing music at ear-splitting levels.

I strongly agree that enforceable measures must be taken to stop the use of illegal e scooters using pathways also to stop vehicles parking on grass verges and double parking.

I approve of this PSPO but there is no point introducing it unless you enforce it, the police won't have the time to do it. Who will be the Brent civilian officers issuing the FPNs, will they be the same officers who are meant to issue dog fouling FPNs etc related to the Parks PSPO - because none are issued. Krupa Sheth needs to have the Serco contract rewritten so that CEOs can issue FPNs related to this PSPO. That said if this can cure the problem of delivery scooters driving across the pavement outside OneStop (junction of Tavistock Rd/High St Harlesden) that would be marvellous!

This would be a positive step forward however resource to enforce the PSPO is equally if not of greater importance.

Important to inform contractors and cab drivers not to sit with engines idling and reckless owners of scooters about the enviromental and public dangers. The introduction of a PSPO would help to educate and hold to account individuals who fail to adhere to the rules for public safety and health.

If introduced it needs to be enforced to some extent to be effective

ASB involving vehicles has become a much bigger problem in recent years. Late-night racing and revving of engines is a particular issue, particularly in the summer when people sleep with windows open.

As a pedestrian and non driver the introduction of a PSPO would keep me safer when I am out and about and reduce my stress.

"Antisocial behaviour (ASB) really affects people and the general community from living a peaceful life, it is totally unacceptable. It makes Brent less desirable.

ASB around nuisance vehicles has been reported both online and verbally to Safer Neighbourhoods Team (SNT), Anne Collier & Cynthia Ziman, a regular example is a motorbike scrambler (no number plate) performing a wheelie around Brook Road, Crest Road, usually between 15:30-16:30 on a Friday, also vehicles sounding their horn unnecessarily.

The PSPO is welcomed and with more people working from home these days, ASB is affecting our working environment.

It is unclear how and to what extent the following prohibitions would be enforced;

- A) Revving of Engine(s) or use of horns as to cause a public nuisance;
- B) Repeated sudden and rapid acceleration (as a public nuisance);
- C) Racing;
- D) Performing stunts (as to cause a public nuisance);
- E) Without lawful authority / excuse wilfully causing obstruction on a public highway, whether moving or stationary, including driving in a convoy;
- F) Use of an E scooter on the public highway without road tax, insurance, lights or number plates;
- G) Any vehicle driving over Footways, Footpaths and Verges.

Vehicle includes a moped, E-scooter, pedal cycle, which includes a power assisted pedal cycle or a pedal cycle in combination with a trailer, constructed or adapted for carrying one or more passengers"

"The proposed PSPO has my personal support.

My only concern is the likelihood that it will not be effectively enforced due to the lack of police boots on the street and the closing of police station public desks."

"Antisocial behaviour (ASB) really affects people and the general community from living a peaceful life, it is totally unacceptable. It makes Brent less desirable.

ASB around nuisance vehicles has been reported both online and verbally to Safer Neighbourhoods Team (SNT), Anne Collier & Cynthia Ziman, a regular example is a motorbike scrambler (no number plate) performing a wheelie around Brook Road, Crest Road, usually between 15:30-16:30 on a Friday, also vehicles sounding their horn unnecessarily.

The PSPO is welcomed and with more people working from home these days, ASB is affecting our working environment.

It is unclear how and to what extent the following prohibitions would be enforced;

- A) Revving of Engine(s) or use of horns as to cause a public nuisance;
- B) Repeated sudden and rapid acceleration (as a public nuisance);
- C) Racing;
- D) Performing stunts (as to cause a public nuisance);
- E) Without lawful authority / excuse wilfully causing obstruction on a public highway, whether moving or stationary, including driving in a convoy;
- F) Use of an E scooter on the public highway without road tax, insurance, lights or number plates;

G) Any vehicle driving over Footways, Footpaths and Verges.

Vehicle includes a moped, E-scooter, pedal cycle, which includes a power assisted pedal cycle or a pedal cycle in combination with a trailer, constructed or adapted for carrying one or more passengers"

Regrettable its necessary but I agree theres been a deterioration especially in this Covid era. Enforcement will be critical to any improvement.

I welcomed this order to be introduced as it is a matter of time when someone or many people start to get injured etc. I see this also as a temporary thing until the education and awareness on how to use these illegal scooter and who can use these e-scooters have significantly increased. Also there must be clear rules and stronger enforcement on this topic of e-scooters as we should keep penalise or give punishment for people that keep breaking these rules and cause not just nuisance but harm in other peoples way.

I find the questions on this survey very limited. The revving or idling of stationery cars should definitely be prevented as should the dangerous driving of e-scooters on pavements and on roads without number-plates, or licences, etc.

Use of e-scooters on road. Or pavement is dangerous to pedestrians and road users. It can lead to secondary collision and as a form of bullying.

Various wards that we work with report issues with illegal activity on e-scooters; on streets; in open spaces public footpaths; car parks; alleyways; service access roads. A major problem if not tackled robustly.

Essential to tackle this misuse of e-scooters for various illegal activities whether it be mugging, drug running or racing - Due to areas across Brent that we work with as a Parks Forum affected - alleyways; open spaces, public footpaths in open spaces; Streets; Access Service Roads, Brent owned car parks we submit this response.

This is essential due to the misuse of e-scooters across various wards in Brent: We work with various parks groups and have observed issues of mugging, drug running and racing using e scooters and mopeds quad bikes and trials bikes. Open spaces; public footpaths in open spaces /parks; in ally-ways and service Roads; on streets, canal towpaths.

The abandoning of vehicles needs to be included in the PSPO. Even after reporting to the DVLA the vehicles still remain.

"1. The survey did not have strongly disagree for all options. The only part of this PSPO that is meaningful is the one relating to causing obstructions as this might not be an already enforceable offence in some circumstances

2. The majority of these issues are already a criminal offence. There are few if any circumstances the PSPO would be practically enforceable except by the police who can already do so. No council officer has the authority to detain or otherwise compel enforcement and reports of breaches would be hearsay at best.

3. Regarding EScooters - as with anything of this nature some ""incidents"" are inevitable (29 ""incidents"" is not a lot by any stretch. How many cycle ""incidents"" were there in the same period?). A knee jerk reaction to ban anything that is remotely awkward is not the solution. EScooters reduce car journeys which reduce traffic which reduces the opportunity for more serious

life threatening incidents and premature deaths from pollution. There is no practical difference between rental scooters and private ones. This PSPO would only serve to penalise otherwise law abiding citizens and do little so solve any real nuisance."

Only one???

Great as long as it is enforced! You do not enforce fly tipping very well by the notice about it at junction Anson Rd and Broadway!

I think it should include parked vehicles with their engine running. A cause of noise and pollution.

It should include the problem of parked vehicles with their engines running for an unnecessarily long time. A cause of noise and pollution.

It would make sense and help older & more vulnerable residents walk in the streets with more confidence and safety.

Excellent idea

Option E on the scooters question was wrongly labelled "strongly agree" when it should say "strongly disagree". This will cause problems in the survey results.

Inconsiderate use of e-scooters is nerve-wracking and potentially dangerous for anyone with mobility, sight or hearing issues

If this came into force and needs to be enforced by the police then this would be an issue. Right now they don't have the capacity to deal with these incidents. There needs to be greater powers and bigger teams to deal when these car meets happen and completely take over a residential road. It's not acceptable that they can just turn up in an evening and take over an entire road and you can't access your home.

My concern is that the police don't have capacity as it is to attend when the car race meet ups happen and completely close our road off. If it's the police that have to impose these new PSPO there needs to be greater police infrastructure.

See everyday loud modified cars speeding down our streets and illegal e-scooters riding in the middle of the roads. I am glad that Brent as a first borough is so brave to do something about it. And I do will support the motion all the way if needed. Thank you

Only strong on the ground enforcement is going to tackle any of these problems. New legislation will be pointless without it.

All these issues are a huge problem on my road, Birchen Grove, particularly at night. Residents asked the council to install speed bumps, but this was not done. Looking forward to someone actually doing something about these issues

"Some of these things are already illegal. How much is this because the police don't pay much attention to them?"

This only makes sense if Brent commit resources to monitoring and intervening in these activities. This would involve a financial commitment. Has that been budgeted?"

I support it

introduce the policy at the level of speed they accelerate :-)

Good idea. Would also be good to tackle obstructions caused by overflowing skips linked to building works and abused by dumpers

Enforcement would be vital

Please do introduce PSPO ASA in Brent. Also spitting of the beetle leaf (Pan) has not stopped in Wembley Central and all areas around the Wembley Park station. Can this issue get Council officers monitoring and issuing fines more vigorously please.

Please add "backfiring" modified type exhausts which create a real sound nuisance. Also very noisy motorbikes; a loud nuisance at all times of day more suited to a racetrack than public roads.

E Scooters are another form of transport like a bike. They pose the same risk as riding a bike. Trying to ban them is wrong.

It should also include modified "backfiring" type car exhausts and very noisy motorbikes more suited to a racetrack!

"1 - Your survey has an error in it which fundamentally undermines the statistics you are trying to collect - the two options at the extremes for question 6 are 'Strongly Agree' and 'Strongly Agree'. Unless you are deliberately trying to skew the results in the favour of people agreeing to your suggestion in question 6 (which would be a huge flaw in the survey and quite unethical), one of these options would be 'Strongly Disagree'.

As there is not a 'Strongly Disagree' option I had to choose 'Disagree' - but this obviously affects the results for this question and makes the depth of feeling about this seem much weaker.

2 - The reason I strongly disagree with question 6 is that the whole approach to a ban on e-scooters is just a complete waste of time and energy that could be better spent on other issues, or tackled in other ways. For instance:

a) e-Scooters are here to stay, and if you think you can ban them, great, but this is just going to be like trying to ban honking your horn after 11.30pm. It will be a law, but no one will abide by it if they don't want to. So police will be needlessly called out to investigate.

b) Not only are e-Scooters here to stay, but we need them to help with making people travel in more healthy and environmentally conscious ways. If public transport is to be cut back in future, and if we are serious about getting cars off the roads, then e-Scooters should be one part of the way we achieve this.

c) While e-Scooters are available to hire elsewhere in London (though granted not yet in Brent sadly), it sends a mixed message and rather hypocritical message to the public - 'you can't *OWN* one of these machines but you can *RIDE* one'... it just introduces too much confusion and mixed messaging.

d) You point out a map of 'hot spot' areas, but hot spots for what? All of these hot spots in Harlesden town centre have existing problems with anti-social behaviour - maybe if you tackled that first, then the use of these scooters would move away from this area. In fact, maybe the anti-social behaviour in these places draws e-Scooters into these zones for drug use, robbery, etc. If you clean up the area, then people wouldn't use these vehicles for that. There are plenty of people in these zones that deal drugs and cause anti-social behaviour but who arrive on foot or in cars - but you aren't banning those activities. Again, it seems contradictory and pointless.

e) Outside of the subject of e-Scooters, is it not the case that you can tackle the issues you raise in the questionnaire through other laws you have in place - revving vehicles, repeated acceleration etc. etc. could be traffic offences, dangerous driving, noise nuisances and so on. So why do you need to introduce new laws - just use and enforce the existing ones? Like the example above, this is another situation where you have mis-interpreted the real problem (i.e. existing anti-social behaviour) and instead of tackling that your proposals overreach and tackle a different issue that is the result of the anti-social behaviour, not a cause of it."

"1 - Your survey has an error in it which fundamentally undermines the statistics you are trying to collect - the two options at the extremes for question 6 are 'Strongly Agree' and 'Strongly Agree'. Unless you are deliberately trying to skew the results in the favour of people agreeing to your suggestion in question 6 (which would be a huge flaw in the survey and quite unethical), one of these options would be 'Strongly Disagree'.

As there is not a 'Strongly Disagree' option I had to choose 'Disagree' - but this obviously affects the results for this question and makes the depth of feeling about this seem much weaker.

2 - The reason I strongly disagree with question 6 is that the whole approach to a ban on e-scooters is just a complete waste of time and energy that could be better spent on other issues, or tackled in other ways. For instance:

a) e-Scooters are here to stay, and if you think you can ban them, great, but this is just going to be like trying to ban honking your horn after 11.30pm. It will be a law, but no one will abide by it if they don't want to. So police will be needlessly called out to investigate.

b) Not only are e-Scooters here to stay, but we need them to help with making people travel in more healthy and environmentally conscious ways. If public transport is to be cut back in future, and if we are serious about getting cars off the roads, then e-Scooters should be one part of the way we achieve this.

c) While e-Scooters are available to hire elsewhere in London (though granted not yet in Brent sadly), it sends a mixed message and rather hypocritical message to the public - 'you can't *OWN* one of these machines but you can *RIDE* one'... it just introduces too much confusion and mixed messaging.

d) You point out a map of 'hot spot' areas, but hot spots for what? All of these hot spots in Harlesden town centre have existing problems with anti-social behaviour - maybe if you tackled that first, then the use of these scooters would move away from this area. In fact, maybe the anti-social behaviour in these places draws e-Scooters into these zones for drug use, robbery, etc. If you clean up the area, then people wouldn't use these vehicles for that. There are plenty of people in these zones that deal drugs and cause anti-social behaviour but who arrive on foot or in cars - but you aren't banning those activities. Again, it seems contradictory and pointless.

e) Outside of the subject of e-Scooters, is it not the case that you can tackle the issues you raise in the questionnaire through other laws you have in place - revving vehicles, repeated acceleration etc. etc. could be traffic offences, dangerous driving, noise nuisances and so on. So why do you need to introduce new laws - just use and enforce the existing ones? Like the example above, this is another situation where you have mis-interpreted the real problem (i.e. existing anti-social behaviour) and instead of tackling that your proposals overreach and tackle a different issue that is the result of the anti-social behaviour, not a cause of it."

"1 - Your survey has an error in it which fundamentally undermines the statistics you are trying to collect - the two options at the extremes for question 6 are 'Strongly Agree' and 'Strongly Agree'. Unless you are deliberately trying to skew the results in the favour of people agreeing to your suggestion in question 6 (which would be a huge flaw in the survey and quite unethical), one of these options would be 'Strongly Disagree'.

As there is not a 'Strongly Disagree' option I had to choose 'Disagree' - but this obviously affects the results for this question and makes the depth of feeling about this seem much weaker.

2 - The reason I strongly disagree with question 6 is that the whole approach to a ban on e-scooters is just a complete waste of time and energy that could be better spent on other issues, or tackled in other ways. For instance:

a) e-Scooters are here to stay, and if you think you can ban them, great, but this is just going to be like trying to ban honking your horn after 11.30pm. It will be a law, but no one will abide by it if they don't want to. So police will be needlessly called out to investigate.

b) Not only are e-Scooters here to stay, but we need them to help with making people travel in more healthy and environmentally conscious ways. If public transport is to be cut back in future, and if we are serious about getting cars off the roads, then e-Scooters should be one part of the way we achieve this.

c) While e-Scooters are available to hire elsewhere in London (though granted not yet in Brent sadly), it sends a mixed message and rather hypocritical message to the public - 'you can't *OWN* one of these machines but you can *RIDE* one'... it just introduces too much confusion and mixed messaging.

d) You point out a map of 'hot spot' areas, but hot spots for what? All of these hot spots in Harlesden town centre have existing problems with anti-social behaviour - maybe if you tackled that first, then the use of these scooters would move away from this area. In fact, maybe the anti-social behaviour in these places draws e-Scooters into these zones for drug use, robbery, etc. If you clean up the area, then people wouldn't use these vehicles for that. There are plenty of people in these zones that deal drugs and cause anti-social behaviour but who arrive on foot or in cars - but you aren't banning those activities. Again, it seems contradictory and pointless.

e) Outside of the subject of e-Scooters, is it not the case that you can tackle the issues you raise in the questionnaire through other laws you have in place - revving vehicles, repeated acceleration etc. etc. could be traffic offences, dangerous driving, noise nuisances and so on. So why do you need to introduce new laws - just use and enforce the existing ones? Like the example above, this is another situation where you have mis-interpreted the real problem (i.e. existing anti-social behaviour) and instead of tackling that your proposals overreach and tackle a different issue that is the result of the anti-social behaviour, not a cause of it."

A useful tool for Brent to use to prevent these dangerous uses of all vehicles in Brent including illegal e scooters or misused legal scooters (if an e scooter scheme was ever applied to Brent)..

It will be welcomed. At present on all bank holiday weekends or occasion weekends and other non occasion adhoc weekends a large number of vehicles 50 to 100 and increasing at each event congregates in front my building on central way and for hours revving their engines loudly, making banging noises, dangerously driving, speeding and skidding round the round about. Swearing at residents and at one of the residents primary school age child who was looking out the window. Littering the street with lots of these strange silver metal bottles. Making lots of toxic smoke with their cars in purpose. Blocking the buses the ambulance and obstructing the police when they arrive. Obstructing other road users. But the worse is the noise. The noise that is non stop for several hours through the night and we have kids and jobs Itâ€™s really dangerous, disruptive and unkind. More than just a nuisance itâ€™s completely unacceptable!

Mainly happens at night

A useful tool to support Policing of this issue.

E-scooters being driving over pavements, especially outside my son's school, and by people going through Zebra crossings and traffic lights using E-scooters has become a complete menace. My young (10 and 7 year old children) have narrowly missed being injured by uninsured people driving these around St Joseph's Junior School in Wembley and on Wembley High Road. It makes us fearful to go to the shops or walk to school. We travel by car now, as it is becoming too dangerous with these motorised E-Scooters weaving in and out of the pavements when you have small, vulnerable children who may be killed. Please, please, please clamp down on it Brent and the police.

Does it have a time limit? And will there be ongoing reporting to see how effective the measures are?

i will full support to introduce PSPO in Borough of brent as e-scooter become hazzard and safety issue on road. they do not have any control on their speed or follow any rules. e-scooter driver are very youngs as school ages which do not have any enough knowledge of road safety.

Can the Police, Ambulance and Fire services use sirens only when absolutely required? Also, their sirens are way too loud - there should be a legal limit to how loud sirens can be.

there is a big issue of cars with customised exhausts which are a major noise pollution and also e-scooters which are a danger since you cannot hear them and they are going very fast. Especially around sudbury court estate.

I am nearly 80 years old and find the use of e-scooters quite frightening as I cannot hear them approaching and they are often used on pavements.

This PSPO should also include the use of bicycles on pavements as more and more adults are using these rather than the roads.

E-scooters are my biggest concern and it would be great to get as many as possible off the pavements.

Making new rules won't in itself change anything. Brent will need to enforce them assiduously. They do not have a good track record on enforcement so it will require some effort.

Many adults ride e-scooters with their children on front and also with a rider on the back.

It is a good idea.

This order would help provide safety for pedestrians and older residents in the borough.

"This would be much welcome.

Where I live, we suffer from high powered vehicles with very loud exhaust systems going at speeds which I'm sure excess 30 or even 40mph.

This is between Sudbury and Harrow Road train station and the Keelers roundabout in Sudbury Town.

It's a 20mph road but without road bumps or a speed camera, vehicles can push their engines to the limit.

It's a miracle a major road accident hasn't happened yet."

Iâ€™m in favour of it, but please extend to Clarendon Gardens too. The way vehicles shoot up in between speed bumps and constantly break the 20mph speed limit, not to mention persistently drive the wrong way past the island outside number 30/32 and 33/35 even though there is an arrow sign one way (can the other one be replaced please!). Even if you donâ€™t speak/read English you should be able to recognise an arrow for heavenâ€™s sake! As for vehicles parking over the whole pavement. These houses werenâ€™t meant for 6 vehicles per building which is why the overflows use the pavement, to the detriment of pedestrians.

these issues need to be tackled, particularly e-scooters on pavements and weaving in and out of traffic

E scooters are a very good means of transport for young people. They are cheap and reduce the number of cars on the road.

I donâ€™t know how this could be enforced even if brought in, without the necessary funds and resources.

I'd prefer these powers to be exercised by the police rather than by the council - I trust the former more than the latter. The council and its contractors are difficult to deal with and to trust.

Fully support the idea

Very useful if used in conjunction with Met Police Enforcement. Both have to work in tandem otherwise this will not be as effective.

Good idea

Good idea

They should be used more to reduce ASB. Firework use and legal highs would be a good target selection to start with

It would be very welcome. Each weekend we are unable to sleep due to cars using our road as a racing track.

PSPO would be very helpful in curbing drivers who race down our street with modified exhausts which make a loud noise especially during day and night time.

Long overdue. I am personally affected by people speeding all hours of the day on the road, the noise affects my sleep. Also I'm more reluctant to go for walks due to scooters and cyclists speeding on the pavement. Ignoring these bad behaviours lead to other bigger crimes and issues. Also builders parking on pavement and damaging paving stones and grass verges should be tackled as well.

"It seems to me that many or most of these are covered by existing laws, so it is not clear what benefit there is in a PSPO. The problem seems to be a lack of enforcement rather than a lack of laws to enforce.

I think the figure in the figure for driving over pavements in the supporting document is a gross underestimate. I'd estimate around 10% of local houses regularly drive over verges. It is quite common to see cars parked in front yards in a way where they could only have got there by a illegal crossover, and sometimes in yards with no legal crossover. Front walls are allowed to collapse to facilitate this and planning conditions on front walls and soft landscaping are blatantly ignored to allow it. I've seen front walls rebuilt very short, with the only obvious reason being to permit such crossovers.

I found the types of vehicles, at least in the offline version, confusing. In particular, does ""passenger"" include driver, as otherwise, motorcycles and pedal cycles are exempt, but most of the stunts I see are on those (particularly pedal cycles)."

"The PSPO should also apply to e-scooters in parks on and off paths; parks are public land too.

Part G appears to prohibit bicycles from using footpaths, some of which currently are for shared use. Also, some paths in parks are specifically for bicycles and connected to bicycle routes, they are generally shared pedestrian and cycle paths. Could ""unless designated for shared use"" be added to part G or a separate bullet point be written for bicycles, which would be clearer and could be more accurately worded than combining bicycles with other vehicles.

Part G includes verges; it is unclear about how large a section of grass it refers to. The PSPO should also prohibit vehicles from driving over public grassland and parkland. If they are prohibited on park pathways they are not prohibited from just pulling off the pathway and driving on the grass."

There are too many people with attitude using these types of motorised transport in many parts of London without any due care or attention to others or even themselves. Being able to police these activities in Brent will maybe bring about safer public spaces for the very young, disabled and the elderly and more importantly safer footways. 100% for the introduction of a PSPO.

We have a motorcyclist who regularly does wheelies up and down our street in Randall Avenue. I have told the police on a number of occasions as it is dangerous to him and us and the sound is very loud and annoying. Nothing has been done up to now. I have sent the police video but the motorcyclist has no plates so they said they can't do anything.

Very pleased that this aspect of nuisance is being addressed.

Cars hooting in convoy and circling round is a serious problem to me, pollution of air and noise and feels aggressive, can be threatening and is very antisocial. re Scooters, it would be better to make use of safe speed restricted vehicle and helmets, find a way to use safely

I'm mostly disturbed by revving, idling or speeding cars. Never had an incident with electrical scooters or seen anything happening. I'm supportive of electrical scooters and I think the same laws as bicycles should be applied to electrical scooters. They are safe, and environmentally friendly. And reduce the need to public transport or car

Before putting a PSPO in place there should be full consultation with all local stakeholders to get community buy-in, with a clear understanding of how the police would enforce it.

This PSPO is so worded that it will outlaw reasonable protest and use of (when legalised) private e-scooters. It is reasonable to tackle vehicles likely to cause harm or annoyance, but not to outlaw protest or reasonable use of low emissions vehicles.

It's surprising, irresponsible and pointless to see pedal cycles aggregated with motor vehicles. And the answers here ONLY refer to motor vehicles when 'vehicles' is mentioned. People cycling have no choice, in places, but to use the footpath to either get somewhere safely or access a cycle lane (ex: Quietway 3 in Gladstone Park). The biggest danger comes from motor traffic. This is where you need to concentrate your effort and resources. If a cyclist behaves without due consideration for pedestrians on the pavement, the police already has powers to address this. The vast majority of occurrences is simply to keep safe and the police knows that. The correct approach is to look at where there are people cycling on pavement and remedy the road danger that is causing this.

"I am writing on behalf of Sudbury Court Residents Association, representing approximately 3000 households. We receive numerous reports about antisocial use of motor vehicles including:

- Speeding

- Loud revving of engines, often at night

- Idling, especially late at night

- Dangerous/careless driving

- Pavement parking leading to obstruction, especially for those using wheelchairs or pushing buggies.

As such we fully support the PSPO with respect to inconsiderate and dangerous use of motor vehicles.

There are many reports of damaged street furniture (railings, bollards, sign posts), pavements and grass verges caused by motor vehicles so this needs to be addressed.

We have had some reports of illegal use of e-scooters so support such enforcement, however this is perplexing as this already falls under the remit of the police.

We find it bizarre that the use of cycles/e-cycles has been grouped together under the umbrella term of vehicles in this consultation, as data shows they pose a significantly lower risk. The revised highway code will introduce a hierarchy of road users which ensures that those road users who can do the greatest harm have the greatest responsibility to reduce the danger or threat to they may pose to others. As such, motor vehicles that pose a much greater risk, should be treated differently.

We do witness occasional pavement cycling, however we have not had any reports of nuisance caused by this.

Children and adults cycling on pavements is a sign of unsafe roads and poor cycling infrastructure as they are fearful of being injured by motor vehicles. We urgently require safer roads and cycling infrastructure for our residents that chose to cycle.

Although technically illegal, cycling on pavements is tolerated by the police if done considerately and the cyclist has genuine safety concerns about the road. North Wembley is noted above as one of the Vehicle Nuisance Hotspots, and often children and adults cycle on the pavement near here as there have been numerous motor vehicle collisions in this area.

We would not support any action against people cycling on pavements if there is legitimate reason for them to do so, and if it can be proved beyond reasonable doubt that they were being inconsiderate to pedestrians."

I think it is a good idea as there are many health and safety risks for residents of Brent.

Useful adjunct if used with Police enforcement.

Racing used to happen a lot in the summer, really its the police who need to come and monitor these issues. The people doing these things are already breaking the law. I am positive about the protection order but I am not sure how this will help residents? Will you actually catch people from this? Or will I end up calling the police and they tell me they cant do anything or they just take the report and nothing happens?

Even if they are part of a trial scheme or are made legal e-scooters should be banned in parks. Supporters say they are an alternative to cars but we don't have cars driving in our parks except for official business, eg Parks dept, deliveries to cafes. A strong message should be sent out that e-scooters are not allowed on pavements.

E-scooters, whether in a trial or not, should be banned from parks. Their advocates say they are an alternative to a car but cars are not allowed in Brent parks. And any e-scooter must be illegal on the pavement. whether being ridden or parked.