Resources and Public Realm Scrutiny Committee Scrutiny Recommendation Tracker 2021-22

(A key and explanatory note for this tracker table is provided at the end of this report).

Tuesday 13 July 2021

Subject	Scrutiny Recommendation	Decision Maker & Executive Response	Department, Cabinet Member and lead officer	Implementation Status	Review date
EURO 2020 Final at Wembley Stadium	That it be recommended to Cabinet; to hold a public review into the Council's actions taken before, during and after the Euro 2020 Final to establish the lessons learnt.	Cabinet 13 September 2021 Cabinet Decision: That Brent Council will: i). Undertake an assessment of Council activities relating to its responsibilities around safety, licensing and enforcement at Wembley Stadium as part of the Euro 2020 Finals in order to support the overarching Review led by Baroness Casey; ii). Fully support the Baroness Casey Review throughout; iii). Consider fully any recommendations relevant to the Council's duties that arise from the Review and; iv). Oversee and implement any actions and priorities for change. https://democracy.brent.gov. uk/documents/s113587/6a.%	Cabinet Members: ClIrs Sheth and Knight Lead Department: Regeneration and Environment Strategic Director Regeneration and Environment	Implementation by: By July 2022	July 2022

	20Executive%20Response% 20to%20the%20Resources% 20and%20Public%20Realm %20Scrutiny%20Committee. pdf		
	pui		

1 October 2020

Subject	Scrutiny Recommendation	Decision Maker & Executive Response	Department, Cabinet Member and lead officer	Implementation Status	Review date
Violence Against Women Scrutiny report	That the Violence Against Women Scrutiny report and recommendations be adopted and referred to Cabinet for consideration.		RegenerationandEnvironment,SaferBrent PartnershipCabinetMember forCommunitySafety andEngagementStrategicDirectorRegenerationandEnvironment	The scrutiny report and the Cabinet's Executive Response was considered the Safer Brent Partnership on the 26 January 2021. A report on the progress of the implementation of the agreed scrutiny recommendations should be considered by the committee in January 2022.	Dec 2021

14 July 2020

Subject	Scrutiny Recommendation	Decision Maker & Executive Response	Department, Cabinet Member and lead officer	Implementation Status	Review date
The Public Realm	That the new parking strategy ensure that it is		Regeneration and Environment	The department will look to raise the lowest parking permit price to the equivalent of the	March
	always cheaper to park a bike than park a car in			starting price for using a cycle hanger i.e. from the current £25 to £36.	2021

Brent, and ensure that the cheapest resident parking permit is more expensive than using a bike hangar.	Cabinet RESOLVED to approve the Executive Response. Cabinet Decision: That consideration be given to raising the lowest parking permit price to the equivalent of the starting price for using a cycle hanger.	Cabinet Member for Environment and Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Property & Planning Strategic Director Regeneration and Environment	The Council uses two suppliers for the provision and management of Cycle Hangars in the borough, residents pay £36 for 3 years (subsidised) rising to £72 per annum for a space. This charge includes administration and also cleaning and maintenance of the hangar. The lowest resident annual permit is £25 per year, this is to encourage ownership of greener vehicles in the borough. We have no plans for changes to the emission based permit charges at present, but this can be considered when reviewed in the future. We have secured £300k of \$106 developer funding for the expansion of the boroughs cycle hangar network over the next 2 years. Officers have been exploring the options to reduce the cost of a bike hangar space following the 3 year subsidy and have contacted our current suppliers to see if they have the scope to manage this on our behalf and reduce the annual charge residents would have to pay, or whether this can be managed in-house. The team have been focussing on the introduction of school streets in response to the Covid-19 pandemic, but will further explore options in the Spring / Summer. If the option is for a supplier to manage cycle hangar requests, this will require procurement / contract arrangements. This will not be progressed during the current pandemic restrictions, with the target start date for consultation on this proposal no earlier than June 2022.	New review date: July 2022
The RealmPublicThat 20mph be adopted as the default speed on Brent roads, subject to an		Regeneration and Environment	A large percentage of the borough is already 20mph and new safety schemes introduce 20mph speed limits when introduced. Further	March 2021

	environmental audit, and that a timetable be drawn up for the introduction of a 20mph speed limit across the borough.	That consideration be given to introducing 20mph as the default speed on Brent roads to an extent that reasonably accounts for the feasibility, the cost, the value, the actual impact on traffic and speeding at any given location, and the capacity of the department to deliver this policy at this time.	Cabinet Member for Environment and Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Property & Planning Strategic Director Regeneration and Environment	consideration to a borough wide approach will be given in 2021, but with limited funding available it may not be cost effective at this time.	New review date: March 2022
The Public Realm	That any future transport strategies and plans include clear measurements and modelling for active transport and the impact on air quality.	Cabinet 12 October 2020 Cabinet Decision: That consideration be given, when reviewing and updating the Long Term Transport Strategy or drafting any new strategies for future transport strategies and plans, to include clear measurements and modelling for active transport and the impact on air quality.	RegenerationandEnvironmentEnvironmentCabinetMember forEnvironmentandCabinetMemberfor Regeneration,Property & PlanningStrategicDirectorRegenerationandEnvironment	A review of the LTTS is currently underway. A draft plan is scheduled to be taken to Cabinet in Summer 2021 for approval to undertake consultation in Autumn 2021, with the final, revised plan set to be published in early 2022.	March 2021 New review date: March 2022

12 March 2020

Subject	Scrutiny Recommendation	Decision Maker & Executive Response	Department, Cabinet Member and lead officer	Implementation Status	Review date
Brent Parks Strategy	That a new Brent Parks Strategy encompass the green and open spaces as a whole and include		Regeneration and Environment	The strategy is still in development but will include targets based on the scrutiny	March 2021

	measurable targets, including bespoke targets for Brent, to facilitate the use of parks and open spaces by a diversity of different people in the community and to encourage sporting activities.	Cabinet Decision/Response: Cabinet RESOLVED to approve the Executive Response to the recommendations made by the Resources & Public Realm Scrutiny Committee on 12 March 2020 relating to the Council's Parks Strategy.	Cabinet Member for Environment Strategic Director Regeneration and Environment	recommendations made. Given the current demand on the Parks The service will develop a baseline measure in two Brent Parks by Spring 2022 to understand who is currently using Brent parks and their reasons for doing so. This should enable identification of where any gaps are.	New review date: Nov 2021
Brent Pa Strategy	To improve the data on people using the park, which could be used to inform the strategy.	Cabinet 17 August 2020 Cabinet Decision/Response: <i>Decision: AGREED.</i>	Regeneration Environmentand EnvironmentCabinet EnvironmentMember for EnvironmentStrategic Regeneration EnvironmentDirector and Environment	The service will develop a baseline measure in two Brent Parks by Spring 2022 to understand who is currently using Brent parks and their reasons for doing so. This should enable identification of where any gaps are.	March 2021 New review date: Nov 2021
Brent Pa Strategy	That provision be made within the strategy and management of parks to allow for barbeques in designated areas, perhaps with the requirement of a permit and perhaps after a trial period to test out how this might work.	Cabinet 17 August 2020 Cabinet Decision/Response: Decision: AGREED. Cabinet noted that consideration of the provision to allow use of BBQs in designated areas would require further review, in terms of the options available to manage any change as part of ongoing development of the strategy, on which the Scrutiny Committee would be kept updated.	Regeneration Environmentand EnvironmentCabinet Member Environmentfor for EnvironmentStrategic Regeneration EnvironmentDirector and Environment	The service will develop a baseline measure in two Brent Parks by Spring 2022.	March 2021 New review date: Nov 2021

12 September 2019

Subject	Scrutiny Recommendation	Decision Maker & Executive Response	Department, Cabinet Member and lead officer	Implementation Status	Review date
Knife Crime	Knife Crime Scrutiny Task Group	<u>Cabinet</u>	Regeneration and	A report on the progress of the implementation	
Scrutiny Task	Report and recommendations	<u>14 October 2019</u>	Environment - the Safer	of the agreed scrutiny recommendations was	10 May
Group Report			Brent Partnership	considered by the committee on Monday 10	2021
	RESOLVED:	Cabinet Decision/Response:		May 2021.	2021
	The Resources and Public		Cabinet Member for		New
	Realm Scrutiny Committee:	RESOLVED: that the	Community Safety and	It was then resolved to request a further Knife	
	agreed the report and	recommendations set out in	Engagement	Crime Scrutiny Review update in six months'	review
	recommendations of the Knife	the report of the Knife Crime		time. This is programmed for Wednesday 10	date:
	Crime Scrutiny Task Group and	Task Group be agreed.	Strategic Director	November 2021 and is detailed in the main	Nov. 2021
	that the report now be referred to		Regeneration and	report.	2021
	the Cabinet for consideration.		Environment	-	

<u>Notes</u>

This is a table to track the progress of scrutiny recommendations made by one of the formal scrutiny committees at Brent Council, with details provided by the relevant lead departments. It is a standing item on the Committee's agendas, so that the Committee can keep track of the recommendations it has made, the decisions made, implementation status and provoke consideration of outcomes that have for residents, the Council and its statutory partners.

The tracker lists the recommendations made by the committee throughout a municipal year and any recommendations still not fully implemented from previous years since June 2019.

The tracker documents the scrutiny recommendations made, the dates when they were made, the decision maker who can make each decision in respect of the recommendations, the date the decision was made and the actual decision taken. The executive decision taken may be the same as the scrutiny recommendation (e.g. the recommendation was "agreed") or it may be a different decision, which should be clarified here. The tracker also asks if the respective executive decisions have been implemented and this should be updated accordingly throughout the year.

Scrutiny Task Group report recommendations should be included here but referenced collectively (e.g. the name of the scrutiny inquiry and date of the agreement of the scrutiny report and recommendations by the scrutiny committee, along with the respective dates when the decision maker(s) considered and responded to the report and recommendations. The Committee should generally review the implementation of scrutiny task group report recommendations separately with stand-alone agenda items at relevant junctures – e.g. the Executive Response to a scrutiny report and after six months or a year, or upon expected implementation of the agreed recommendation of report. The "Expected Implementation Date" should provide an indication of a suitable time for review.

<u>Key</u>:

Date of scrutiny committee meeting - For each table, the date of scrutiny committee meeting when the recommendation was made is provided in the subtitle header.

Subject – this is the item title on the committee's agenda; the subject being considered.

Scrutiny Recommendation – This is the text of the scrutiny recommendation as it appears on the minutes – in **bold**. Decision Maker – the decision maker for the recommendation, (in **bold**), eg the Cabinet (for Council executive decisions), full Council (for Council policy and budgetary decisions), or an NHS executive body for recommendations to the NHS. In brackets, (date), the date on which the Executive Response was made.

Executive Response – The response of the decision maker (eg Cabinet decision) for the recommendation. This should be the executive decision as recorded in the minutes. The Executive Response should provide details of what, if anything, the executive will do in response to the scrutiny recommendation. Ideally, the Executive Response will include a decision to either agree/reject/or amend the scrutiny recommendation and where the scrutiny recommendation is rejected, provide an explanation of why. In brackets, provide the date of Cabinet/executive meeting that considered the scrutiny recommendation and made the decision. **Department** – the Council directorate (and/or external agencies) that are responsible for implementation of the agreed executive decision/response. Also provided, for reference only, the relevant Cabinet Member and strategic director.

Implementation Status – This is the progress of any implementation of the agreed Executive Response against key milestones. This may cross reference to any specific actions and deadlines that may be provided in the Executive Response. This should be as specific and quantifiable as possible. This should also provide, as far as possible, any evidenced outcomes or improvements resulting from implementation.

Review Date - This is the expected date when the agreed Executive Response should be fully implemented and when the scrutiny committee may usefully review the implementation and any evidenced outcomes (eg service improvements). (Note: this is the implementation of the agreed Executive Response, which may not be the same as the scrutiny recommendation).