2012

Equality Analysis Guidance and Form



Brent Council Equality Analysis Form

Please contact the Corporate Diversity team before completing this form. The form is to be used for both predictive Equality Analysis and any reviews of existing policies and practices that may be carried out.

Once you have completed this form, please forward to the Corporate Diversity Team for auditing. Make sure you allow sufficient time for this.

1. Roles and Responsibilities: please refer to stage 1 of the guidance		
Directorate: Assistant Chief Executive	Person Responsible:	
	Name: Melanie Smith	
	Title: DPH	
	Contact No: 020 8937 6227	
Service Area: Public Health	Mann	
	Signed:	
Name of policy:	Data analysis atartady luna 2014	
Name of policy:	Date analysis started: June 2014	
Reprocurement of public health service contracts	Completion date /11/2014	
	Review date: Nov 2014	
Is the policy:	Auditing Details:	
	Name: Sarah Kaiser	
New √□ Old □	Title: Head of Equality	
	Date	
	Contact No: x4521	
	Signed: S Kaiser	
Signing Off Manager: responsible	Decision Maker:	
for review and monitoring	Name: Cabinet	
Name:Melanie Smith		
Title: DPH		
Date	Date: 15/12/2014	
Contact No: 020 8937 6227		
Signed:		

2. Brief description of the policy. Describe the aim and purpose of the policy, what needs or duties is it designed to meet? How does it differ from any existing policy or practice in this area?

Please refer to stage 2 of the guidance.

The public health team is procuring the following services:

Drug and alcohol services

Sexual health services

School nursing services

Post health check support / community services

3. Describe how the policy will impact on all of the protected groups:

Procurement: Within the procurement process for all Public Health contracts the below pre-tender considerations will be assessed:

- whether the population changes might indicate new needs
- whether there are alternative ways of meeting requirements that could advance equality

The JSNA indicates the following health inequalities which are relevant to this procurement:

Drug and alcohol services: The recoding of the take up of services in Brent is undertaken through the National Drug Treatment monitoring service (NDTMS) and quarterly reports are produced by Public Health England which profile of those engaged in structured interventions by age, gender and ethnicity. Young people, women and those from BAME communities are under-represented in the treatment system. The reprocurement will aim to increase the numbers of women and people from BAME communities, particularly those from South Asian communities, accessing treatment. As the needs of young people are different to those of older people with substance misuse difficulties, a specific service will be commissioned for that age group. The service specification will also require women only services.

Sexual health services: groups at higher risk of poor sexual health are young people aged 15 to 24 years; men who have sex with men (MSM) and Black African, Black Caribbean and Black British ethnic groups. A chlamydia screening service is being procured which will target young people. There is an existing condom distribution service which targets young people which will be continued. Sexual health promotion services will be commissioned to target young people and BAME groups. HIV prevention services for MSM and BAME communities are commissioned on a pan London basis.

School nursing is a universal service for those of school age. The service will take

a holistic, child-centred approach, recognising and locating each individual child's unique cultural, social, economic and other needs as part of the context within which the child's health and well-being needs have been identified.

Post health check support will aim to reduce cardiac risk. NHS health checks are offered to those aged 40 to 74 years. Age, gender and ethnicity affect cardiac risk and the clinical risk assessment addresses this. The eligibility criteria for the weight management programme have been adjusted to reflect the higher diabetic and cardiovascular risk in the Asian population.

We do not have local data on any inequalities relating to gender reassignment, marriage / civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity or religion and belief.

The soft market testing exercise recently conducted across all 4 service areas was designed to ensure that the marketplace was made aware of the diversity of Brent and to send a strong signal to the market that at tender stage commissioners will consider equalities impact when assessing bids.

Contract Terms: All contract Terms and Conditions will include a clause on equalities.

Specifications: Equality requirements have been built into the specifications as relevant to the service, in accordance with the service specific issues identified above; these also help achieve Corporate Equality Objectives. Monitoring requirements are included in the specification

Bids received for all the services address the Equality requirements taken from aforementioned specifications:

School Nursing services:

The preferred bidder demonstrated a good understanding of the diversity of the population to be served and the implications of this for the school nursing service. The Trust undertook to work with individual schools to understand any specific equality and diversity needs of particular schools and to establish links with local community and faith groups. The preferred bidder demonstrated experience of providing a service to a socioeconomic, culturally and ethnically diverse school population. The Trust has been recognised as an Equality and Diversity Partner by NHS Employers and its workforce reflects the local population. However in recognition of the predominantly female nature of the nursing workforce, the preferred bidder offered a gender neutral web based service. The Trust is ranked 9th nationally on the Stonewall Health Equality Index. The organisation has a strong programme of mandatory equality training for staff. The bid addressed potential barriers to access due to language and disability

Post Health Checks services:

The preferred bidder demonstrated that they have a good understanding and experience of providing some aspects of Post Health Check Services to a socioeconomic, culturally and ethnically diverse population. They demonstrated how they engage with different groups including those with disabilities and how they interact with individuals to overcome language barriers whilst being aware and

catering for cultural needs.

The inclusion of clients mostly depends on the GP referrals and the provider has limited control over it. The provider still ensures cultural and religious needs of clients are met by providing relevant nutritional information. The provider also ensures needs of different genders on the basis of their general and religious requirements. The provider tackles with the language barriers by providing interpreter service.

Substance Misuse services: The successful bids from the preferred providers highlighted the need for services to able to address the needs and to develop pathways for hard to reach and excluded groups such as KHAT Users. Services also recognised the need to ensure access to services extends beyond the '9 to 5 Monday to Friday' model by ensuring services are open evenings and weekends and identify specific women only sessions to increase the uptake of women who are underrepresented in services.

Sexual health Services: the success bids from the preferred providers highlighted the need for services and interventions need to be targeted at specific groups and that opening times and access should reflect the need to be more responsive to residents who may be concerned about their sexual health. In particular the submission from Terence Higgins Trust (THT) to provide HIV Outreach Prevention Services was particularly strong on the target communities Black African and Men who have sex with Men but also placed a strong emphasis on faith and community involvement as a means to engage and support the key target groups.

Please give details of the evidence you have used:

The identification of the equalities implications of the procurement of public health services is based upon the JSNA which is itself informed by the following data sources:

Drug and alcohol services

LAPE - Local Alcohol Profiles for England

Local Alcohol Synthetic Estimates for England 2011-12

National Drug Treatment Monitoring System (NDTMS)

2012/13 NDTMS Needs Assessment Data

PHE, Public Health Outcomes Framework

DOMES report data (September 2013)

Glasgow Prevalence Estimates for drug use, 2010/11

Sexual health services

PHE, Brent health profile, 2014

PHE, Brent child health profile, 2014

LA STI E Report 2011; HPA: Number of Acute STI Diagnosis in England 2009-12

Data from community services

School nursing services

ChiMat – Child and Maternal Health Observatory website

PHE Brent child health profile (2013 and 2014)

Post health check support

Active People's Survey 2012

Health Survey for England 2006-2008

4. Describe how the policy will impact on the Council's duty to have due regard to the need to:

- (a) Eliminate discrimination (including indirect discrimination), harassment and victimisation;
- E4. Bidders are required to comply with all legislation relating to Health & Safety, Equalities and any statutory environmental considerations

Specifications: The relevance of equality to the subject matter of the contract will help determine whether it forms part of the specification. Where equality considerations are central to the service they are normally regarded as a core requirement.

Selection Criteria: The criteria will meet all the other principles of EU law, such as transparency and non-discrimination, as discussed elsewhere in this document.

Key principles are:

- 1) Criteria need to be reasonable, proportionate and transparent. All evaluation criteria need to be properly disclosed to tenderers to ensure that the use being made of economic, social and environmental requirements is understood.
- 2) Criteria will be objective, not involving subjective value judgements, and will be clear, verifiable, targeted and have measurable outputs.

The Authority has designed strategic objectives to guide the procurement of the new contract and to ensure that residents' needs are prioritised.

(b) Advance equality of opportunity;

The below questions will form part of the Pre Qualification Questionnaire in assessing bidders:

E3. There are 9 "protected characteristics" under the Equality Act: age, race, religion or belief, disability, sex, age, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, marriage and civil partnership or sexual orientation. Has any finding of unlawful discrimination been made against your organisation in the last three years in respect of an individual having one of these protected characteristics?

Equality requirements have been built into the specifications as relevant to the service, in accordance with the service specific issues identified in section 3. In addition specifications will address **socioeconomic diversity**

All preferred passed the Pre-Qualifications stages by submitting adequate policies which meets the Council's requirements.

(c) Foster good relations

Not applicable

5. What engagement activity did you carry out as part of your assessment? Please refer to stage 3 of the guidance.

i. Who did you engage with?

This procurement is informed by soft market testing with potential providers, engagement with the third sector and service user involvement in drug and alcohol services.

This stage was carried out by an open competitive process to which providers submitted bids in response to various services tendered.

ii. What methods did you use?

Soft market testing was carried out via the London Portal. The third sector were engaged by a joint CVS / Brent Public Health event.

There is ongoing dialogue with drug and alcohol service users for example through membership of the DAAT.

Bids received were assessed by a panel of evaluators made up of Council Officers and Specialist/Clinical advisors.

For Drug and Alcohol Services, service user Recovery Champions from B3 were

fully involved and engaged right through from the service design to the PQQ and Tender Evaluation stages.

iii. What did you find out?

Third sector organisations identified the potential to work with Faith leaders to address stigma around sexual health issues.

Women and those with children are apprehensive about approaching services due to a range of issues including the potential involvement of statutory children's services.

There may be a lack of recognition as to how universal services need to respond to the diversity of the population and specifically the needs relevant to the Equality Act nine protected characteristics

Disability was identified as potential barriers to access to the post health checks service.

Services bidding for LOT's 4 and 6 (Substance Misuse and Young People) placed a great emphasis on the addressing the demographics of the borough's diverse population but less so on sexuality, gender reassignment and disability. This is an issue that will be addressed when the new contracts are signed off between commissioning leads and providers.

In relation to the tenders for Sexual Health, all the preferred providers highlighted that by addressing the diversity and the needs of those accessing community based sexual health/HIV Prevention services would be more successful and provide increased access and coverage if services were able to address access in relation to those communities at the greatest risk of poor sexual health, namely; men who have sex with men, young people, people living with HIV, Black and Minority Ethnic (BAME) communities in particular Back African and those involved in the sex industry.

iv. How have you used the information gathered?

The information gathered has informed the service specification and PPQ and the method questions in the ITT.

v. How has if affected your policy?

As we were working from the JSNA, we had an understanding about what the equalities and health inequalities issues were. For example, which groups we needed to target. The engagement activities have given us a better understanding of *how* to address the equalities and health inequalities issues

6. Have you identified a negative impact on any protected group, or identified any unmet needs/requirements that affect specific protected groups? If so, explain what actions you have undertaken, including consideration of any alternative proposals, to lessen or mitigate against this impact.
Please refer to stage 2, 3 & 4 of the guidance.
See section 3. We are commissioning specific services for young people in the fields of drug and alcohol and sexual health. We will be commissioning targeted services in sexual health promotion and HIV prevention for young people and BAME groups. Our specification for drug and alcohol services will require provision for women only access at certain services throughout the week and services for young women who are at risk of being involved in sexual exploitation.
Please give details of the evidence you have used:

7. Analysis summary Please tick boxes to summarise the findings of your analysis.

Protected Group	Positive	Adverse	Neutral
	impact	impact	

Age	Х	
Disability	X	X
Gender re-assignment		Х
Marriage and civil partnership		Х
Pregnancy and maternity		Х
Race	Х	
Religion or belief		Х
Sex	Х	
Sexual orientation	Х	

8. The Findings of your Analysis

Please complete whichever of the following sections is appropriate (one only). Please refer to stage 4 of the guidance.

No major change

Your analysis demonstrates that:

- The policy is lawful
- The evidence shows no potential for direct or indirect discrimination
- You have taken all appropriate opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations between groups.

Please document below the reasons for your conclusion and the information that you used to make this decision.

To the extent that data is available, we have identified any potential concerns as to health inequalities and taken active steps to address this in the procurement exercise through the development of our specifications and our PQQ requirements and the ITT specification; this is in addition to Contract Terms and Conditions which cover current legislative requirements.

9. Monitoring and review

Please provide details of how you intend to monitor the policy in the future. Please refer to stage 7 of the guidance.

The EIA will be revisited during the procurement and presented to Cabinet when authority to appoint is sought

10. Action plan and outcomes

At Brent, we want to make sure that our equality monitoring and analysis results in positive outcomes for our colleagues and customers.

Use the table below to record any actions we plan to take to address inequality, barriers or opportunities identified in this analysis.

By when	Lead officer	Desired outcome	Date completed	Actual outcome
December 2014	Melanie Smith DPH	Maximise the potential for the reprocurement of public health contracts to impact on health inequalities	December 2014	Achieved for five of the protected characteristics – see section 7

Please forward to the Corporate Diversity Team for auditing.

Introduction

The aim of this guidance is to support the Equality Analysis (EA) process and to ensure that Brent Council meets its legal obligations under the Equality Act 2010. Before undertaking the analysis there are three key things to remember:

- It is very important to keep detailed records of every aspect of the process. In particular you must be able to show a clear link between all of your decisions and recommendations and the evidence you have gathered.
- There are other people in the council and in your own department who have done this before and can offer help and support.
- The Diversity and Consultation teams are there to advise you.

The Equality Act 2010

As a Public Authority, Brent Council is required to comply with the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) contained in the Equality Act 2010. These duties require Brent Council to have 'due regard' to the need to

• Eliminate discrimination, be it direct or indirect discrimination

- Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and others who do not share it; and
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not share it

The equality duty covers:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Marriage and civil partnership (direct discrimination only)
- Race
- Religion or belief
- Sex (formally known as gender)
- Sexual orientation

What is equality analysis?

Equality Analysis is core to policy development and decision making and is an essential tool in providing good services. Its purpose is to allow the decision maker to answer two main questions.

- Could the policy have a negative impact on one or more protected groups and therefore create or increase existing inequalities?
- Could the policy have a positive impact on one or more protected groups by reducing or eliminating existing or anticipated inequalities?

What should be analysed?

Due consideration of the need for an Equality Analysis should be addressed in relation to all policies, practices, projects, activities and decisions, existing and new. There will be some which have no equalities considerations, but many will. Where an EA is undertaken, some policies are considered a higher risk than others and will require more time and resources because of their significance. This would include:

- Policies affecting a vulnerable group such as young people, the elderly and people with a disability
- Policies related to elective services such as Sports Centres or Libraries
- High profile services
- Policies involving the withdrawal of services
- Policies involving significant reductions in funding or services
- Policies that affect large groups of people
- Policies that relate to politically sensitive issues

It can sometimes be difficult to identify which policies are more sensitive. If you are in doubt seek advice from a more senior officer or the Diversity Team.

When should equality analysis be done?

The EA must be completed before the policy is sent to the decision maker but should be carried out at the earliest possible stage. The advantage of starting early is that the equalities data informs and shapes the policy as it develops and progresses and this allows more time to address issues of inequality. You should also bear in mind that several changes may be happening at the same time. This would mean ensuring that there is sufficient relevant information to understand the cumulative effect of all of these decisions.

Positive action

Not all policies can be expected to benefit all groups equally, particularly if they are targeted at addressing particular problems affecting one protected group. (An example would be a policy to improve the access of learning disabled women to cancer screening services.) Policies like this, that are specifically designed to advance equality, will, however, also need to be analysed for their effect on equality across all the protected groups.

Brent Council's Equality Analysis Process

This flow chart sets out the process for carrying out an EA. Details on each stage of the process follow. Please note that it may be necessary to consult the Corporate Diversity team at each stage and that Legal may also need to be involved. This should be factored in to the time scale.

Stage 1: Roles and responsibilities

- ~ Appoint a lead officer who understands the aim of the policy
- ~ Speak with a member of the Corporate Diversity Team to obtain guidance and identify the main issues relevant to the policy

Stage 2: Assessing and Establishing Relevance

- ~ Consider how the Public Sector Equality Duty is relevant to the policy
 - ~ Consider the risks associated with implementing the policy

Relevant

~Begin the process of gathering evidence

Scoping and engagement

- ~ Identify the available evidence
- ~Identify who will need to be consulted
- ~ Take steps to fill any gaps including consultation with key stakeholders. Contact the Consultation Team for advice

Stage 4: Drawing conclusions

- ~ Is there any adverse impact?
- ~ Is there any positive impact?
- ~ What can you do to mitigate any adverse impact?

Stage 5: Auditing

- ~ Email the completed Equality Analysis and supporting documents to the Corporate **Diversity Team**
- ~ Implement the recommended changes to the policy and EA documents from the audit

Stage 6: Sign off, decision and publishing

- ~ Once the audit recommendations have been incorporated into the EA it should be signed off by a director or assistant director
- ~ Publish the Equality Analysis on the intranet and the website and include in the report for decisioin

Stage 7: Monitoring and reviewing

The outcome of the Equality Analysis must be monitored and reviewed to ensure the desired effect is being achieved

Not Relevant

- ~Complete the EA summary sheet
- ~Attach narrative to support the 'no relevance' decision
- ~Email to the Corporate Diversity Team for auditing.

Stage 1: Roles and Responsibilities
The first stage in the process is to allocate the following roles.

Role	Responsibilities and tasks
Decision maker - the person or group making the policy decision (e.g. CMT/Executive/Chief Officer).	 Check that the analysis has been carried out thoroughly: Read and be familiar with the EA and any issues arising from it and know, understand and apply the PSED. (The evidence on which recommendations are based must be available to this person.) Take account of any countervailing factors e.g. budgetary and practical constraints
The officer undertaking the EA	 Contact the Corporate Diversity and Consultation teams for support and advice Develop an action plan for the analysis Carry out research, consultation and engagement if required Develop recommendations based on the analysis Submit the EA form to the Diversity team for audit with the evidence and any other relevant documents including the report the EA will be attached to Incorporate the recommendations of the audit Include the Equalities Analysis in papers for decision-makers
The Corporate Diversity Team. Usually an individual officer will be assigned at the start of the process	 Provide support and advice to the responsible officer Carry out the audit of the EA to monitor quality standards and ensure it is sufficiently rigorous to meet the general and public sector duties. Return the analysis to the responsible officer for further work if it fails to meet the necessary standard Consult Legal if necessary (this stage of the process will take at least 5 days)
The council officer responsible for signing off the EA. Usually a senior manager within the relevant directorate	 Ensure: That the EA form is completed That any issues raised as part of the auditing process have been fully dealt with That the EA, the evidence used and any issues arising from the analysis are brought to the attention of the decision maker Ensure that the findings are used to inform service planning and wider policy development.

Stage 2: Assessing and Establishing Relevance

We need to ensure that all of our policies and key decisions, both current and proposed, have given appropriate consideration to equality. Consideration of the need for an EA needs to be given to all new policies; all revised policies, all key decisions and changes to service delivery need an EA. Those that are more relevant will require more resources and data.

The following questions can help you to determine the degree of relevance, but this is not an exhaustive list:

Key Questions:

- Does the policy have a significant effect in terms of equality on service users, employees or the wider community? Remember that relevance of a policy will depend not only on the number of those affected but also by the significance of the effect on them.
- Is it a major policy, significantly affecting how functions are delivered in terms of equality?
- Will it have a significant effect on how other organisations operate in terms of equality?
- Does the policy relate to functions that previous engagement has identified as being important to particular protected groups?
- Does or could the policy affect different protected groups differently?
- Does it relate to an area with known inequalities (for example, access to public transport for disabled people, racist/homophobic bullying in schools)?
- Does it relate to an area where equality objectives have been set by Brent Council?

If the answer to any of the above is "yes", you will need to carry out an Equalities Analysis.

"Not relevant"

If you decide that a policy does not impact on any of the equality needs contained in the public sector equality duty, you will need to:

- Document your decision, including the reasons and the information that you used to reach this conclusion. A simple statement of no relevance to equality without any supporting information is not sufficient, nor is a statement that no information is available. This could leave you vulnerable to legal challenge so obtaining early advice from the Corporate Diversity team would be helpful.
- Complete the EA Form and send it to the Corporate Diversity Team for auditing.
 If the Corporate Diversity Team advises that policy is relevant then you will need
 to continue the EA process (See flowchart). If the Corporate Diversity Team
 advises that the policy is not relevant then you will need to have it signed off,
 publish it and put in place monitoring arrangements for the policy.

Stage 3: Scoping

Scoping establishes the focus for the EA and involves carrying out the following steps:

- Identify how the aims of the policy relate to equality and which aspects have particular importance to equality.
- Identify which protected groups and which parts of the general equality duty the policy will, or is likely to, affect.
- Identify what evidence is available for the analysis, what the information gaps are, and establish which stakeholders can usefully be engaged to support the analysis.

Think about:

- The purpose of the policy, and any changes from any existing policy
- The reason for the policy
- The context
- The beneficiaries
- The intended results

At this early stage you should start to think about potential effects on protected groups. This could mean that you decide to change your overall policy aims or particular aspects of the policy in order to take better account of equality considerations. It is often easier to do this at an earlier stage rather than having to reconsider later on in the process.

Sources of information

It is important to have as much up-to-date and reliable information as possible about the different groups likely to be affected by the existing or proposed policy. The information needed will depend on the nature of the existing or proposed policy, but it will probably include many of the items listed below:

- The Brent Borough profile for demographic data and other statistics
- Census findings; the 2011 census data will be available during 2012
- Equality monitoring data for staff and/or service users
- Reports and recommendations from inspections or audits conducted on service areas
- Previous reports that have been produced either on a similar topic or relating to the same service user group
- Responses to public enquiries on similar topics e.g. Freedom of Information requests
- Comparisons with similar policies in other departments or authorities to help you identify relevant equality issues.
- Analysis of enquiries or complaints from the public to help you understand the needs or experiences of different groups.
- Recent research from a range of national, regional and local sources to help you identify relevant equality issues.
- Results of engagement activities or surveys to help you understand the needs or experiences of different groups.

 Local press and other media. This will tell you whether there is public concern about possible equalities implications and help you to highlight issues for engagement

Many of these sources will be consulted as a matter of course when reviewing or developing a policy. Equalities considerations are one part of the policy process, not an extra.

Service user information

The type of information you need will depend on the nature of the policy. However, information relating to service users is usually essential. Consider:

- The full range of information that you already have about the user group e.g. information contained within service reviews, audit reports, performance reviews, consultation reports
- Who actually uses the service?
- When do they use it?
- How do they use it and what are their experiences?
- Are there alternative sources of provision that could be accessed?
- Who will be using the service in the future?
- Information from groups or agencies who deliver similar services to your target group e.g. survey results from voluntary and community organisations.

Identify your information gaps

If you do not have equality information relating to a particular policy or about some protected groups, you will need to take steps to fill in your information gaps. This could mean doing further research, undertaking a short study, conducting a one off survey or consultation exercise, holding a focus group etc.

Engagement

The Consultation team are available to advise on all aspects of engagement. You may wish to carry out engagement, which can help you to:

- Gather the views, experiences and ideas of those who are, or will be, affected by your decisions.
- Base your policy on evidence rather than on assumptions
- Check out your ideas
- Find solutions to problems and develop ways to overcome barriers faced by particular groups.
- Design more appropriate services,
- Monitor and evaluate the success of your policies and understand where improvements may be necessary.
- Avoid the costs of remedying and adapting services after their implementation
- Pre-empt complaints, which can be costly and time-consuming.

But remember you don't always have to consult or embark upon engagement if you already have enough information to assess the likely impact of the policy change on the equality needs, and if there is no other legal duty to consult. This engagement

can form part of the broader consultation being carried out around service changes. You can also use recent engagement and research activities as a starting point, for example on a related policy or strategy and you can use documentation resulting from other equality analysis that Brent Council (or others) have undertaken.

For your engagement to be effective you will need to:

- Think carefully about who you should engage with. You will need to prioritise
 those who are most likely to be affected by the policy and those who will
 experience the greatest impact in terms of equality and good relations.
- In regard to people with a disability, as good practice it is recommended that they should be actively involved in engagement activity which directly affects them or the services that they receive.
- Make sure that the level of engagement is appropriate to the significance of the policy and its impact on equality
- Consider what questions you will need to ask, in order to understand the effect of the policy on equality. If you find it difficult to frame suitable questions you may take advice from the Corporate Diversity and Consultation teams
- Link into existing forums or community groups or to speak with representatives to help you reach less visible groups or those you have not engaged with before.
- Create opportunities for people to participate in supportive and safe environments where they feel their privacy will be protected, or via technology such as the internet
- Think of strategies that address barriers to engagement. Other people in the council have experience of this and can advise, as can the Corporate Diversity team and the Consultation team.

Stage 4: Drawing conclusions

You will need to review all of the information you have gathered in order to make a judgement about what the likely effect of the policy will be on equality, and whether you need to make any changes to the policy.

You may find it useful to ask yourself "What does the evidence (data, consultation outcomes etc.) tell me about the following questions":

- Could the policy outcomes differ between protected groups? If so, is that consistent with the policy aims?
- Is there different take-up of services by different groups?
- Could the policy affect different groups disproportionately?
- Does the policy miss opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, including, for example, participation in public life?
- Could the policy disadvantage people from a particular group?
- Could any part of the policy discriminate unlawfully?
- Are there other policies that need to change to support the effectiveness of the policy under consideration?

If the answer to any of the above is "yes", you should consider what you can do to mitigate any harmful effects. Advice from the Diversity team will be particularly helpful at this stage.

You will also want to identify positive aspects of the policy by asking yourself:

- Does the policy deliver practical benefits for protected groups?
- Does the policy enable positive action to take place?
- Does the policy help to foster good relations between groups

Having considered the potential or actual effect of your policy on equality, you should be in a position to make an informed judgement about what should be done with your policy.

There are four main steps that you can take:

- No major change
- Adjust the policy
- Continue the policy
- Stop and remove the policy

(Please see EA form for detailed descriptions of each decision)

Decisions may involve careful balancing between different interests, based on your evidence and engagement. For example, if the analysis suggests the needs of two groups are in conflict, you will need to find an appropriate balance for these groups and for the policy in question. The key point is to make sure the conclusions you reach can be explained and justified. Speak to the Diversity team if you are unsure. As a result of your analysis you may need to develop new equality objectives and targets. These should be documented on the EA form.

Stage 5: Auditing

Once you have completed the EA you will need to complete the EA Form and send it to the Corporate Diversity Team for auditing. It is important to ensure that the EA Form is completed as fully as possible. Documenting all of your analysis is important to ensure that you can show how the general and specific duties are being met. This aspect of the analysis has been subject to legal challenge so you need to be able to show how you reached your conclusions. The audit process involves the Corporate Diversity Team reviewing the completed form, the information and evidence. Sometimes this may require advice from Legal. You need to bear in mind that this will take at least five days. The team will send you back a feedback form with comments and recommendations which you will need to action prior to the sign off of the form.

Stage 6: Sign Off, Decision and Publishing

Once the EA Form is completed, the document must be signed off and the completed document must be sent to the Corporate Diversity Team to be published on the council website.

Decision-making

In order to have due regard to the aims of the public sector equality duty, decision-making must be based on a clear understanding of the effects on equality. This means that Directors, CMT and others who ultimately decide on the policy are fully aware of the findings of the EA and have due regard to them in making decisions. They are also entitled to take into account countervailing factors such as budgetary and practical constraints.

Stage 7: Monitoring and Reviewing

Your EA, and any engagement associated with it, will have helped you to anticipate and address the policy's likely effects on different groups. However, the actual effect of the policy will only be known once it has been introduced. You may find that you need to revise the policy if, for instance:

- Negative effects do occur
- Area demographics change, leading to different needs,
- Alternative provision becomes available
- New options to reduce an adverse effect become apparent

You will need to identify a date when the policy will be reviewed to check whether or not it is having its intended effects. This does not mean repeating the EA, but using the experience gained through implementation to check the findings and to make any necessary adjustments. Consider:

- How you will measure the effects of the policy?
- When the policy will be reviewed (usually after a year) and what could trigger an early revision (see above)?
- Who will be responsible for monitoring and review?
- What type of information is needed for monitoring and how often it will be analysed?
- How to engage stakeholders in implementation, monitoring and review?

Section 3: Glossary

Civil partnership: Legal recognition of a same-sex couple's relationship. Civil partners must be treated the same as married couples on a range of legal matters.

Direct discrimination: This refers to less favourable treatment of one individual, if, because of that person's protected characteristic, that person is treated less favourably than another. Direct discrimination cannot be justified unless it is discrimination on the grounds of age.

Disability: A person has a disability if s/he has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on their ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.

Equality information: The information that you have (or that you will collect) about people with protected characteristics that will help you to show compliance with the equality duty. This may include the findings of engagement with protected groups and others and evidence about the effect of your policies on protected groups. It includes both qualitative and quantitative information, as well as evidence of analysis you have undertaken.

Gender reassignment: This is the process of transitioning from one sex to another. See also trans, transgender, transsexual.

Harassment: Unwanted conduct related to a protected characteristic that has the purpose or effect of violating a person's dignity or creates an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment. It may also involve unwanted conduct of a sexual nature or be related to gender reassignment or sex.

Indirect discrimination: This is when a neutral provision, criterion or practice is applied to everyone, but which is applied in a way that creates disproportionate disadvantage for persons with a protected characteristic as compared to those who do not share that characteristic, and cannot be shown as being a proportionate means of achieving a legitimate aim.

Mitigation: This is when measures are put in place that lessen the negative effects of a policy or policies on protected groups.

Objective justification: Your provision may indirectly discriminate against a particular group if:

- It is a proportionate means to achieve a legitimate end
- The discrimination is significantly outweighed by the benefits
- There is no reasonable alternative to achieve the legitimate end

For example, some employers have policies that link pay and benefits to an employee's length of service, such as additional holiday entitlement for long-serving employees. This may indirectly discriminate against younger people who are less likely to have been employed for that length of time, but in most circumstances it is seen as being a proportionate way of encouraging staff loyalty.

Direct discrimination on the grounds of age can also be objectively justified (no other direct discrimination can be).

Positive action: Lawful actions that seeks to overcome or minimise disadvantages that people who share a protected characteristic have experienced, or to meet their different needs (for example, providing mentoring to encourage staff from underrepresented groups to apply for promotion).

Pregnancy and Maternity: Pregnancy is the condition of being pregnant. Maternity is the period after giving birth and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth, including as a result of breastfeeding.

Proportionality: The weight given to equality should be proportionate to its relevance to a particular function. This may mean giving greater consideration and resources to functions or policies that have the most effect on the public or on employees.

Race: This refers to a group of people defined by their colour, nationality (including citizenship), ethnic or national origins.

Reasonable adjustment: Public authorities making adjustments to the way in which they carry out their functions so that disabled people are not disadvantaged by the way in which those functions are carried out. This is with regard to policies, practices or procedures, premises, and the provision of auxiliary aids or services.

Relevance: How far a function or policy affects people, as members of the public, and as employees of the authority. Some functions may be more relevant to some protected groups than to others, and to one or more of the three elements of the general equality duty. The function or policy may still be relevant if the numbers affected by it are very small.

Religion or belief: Religion means any religion, including a reference to a lack of religion. Belief includes religious and philosophical beliefs including lack of belief (for example, Atheism). Generally, a belief should affect your life choices or the way you live for it to be included.

Sexual orientation: This is whether a person's sexual attraction is towards their own sex, the opposite sex or to both sexes.

Trans: The terms 'trans people' and 'transgender people' are both often used as umbrella terms for people whose gender identity and/or gender expression differs from their birth sex, including transsexual people (those who propose to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process of gender reassignment to live permanently in their acquired gender), transvestite/cross-dressing people (those who wear clothing traditionally associated with the other gender either occasionally or more regularly), androgyne/polygender people (those who have non-binary gender identities and do not identify as male or female), and others who define as gender variant.

Transgender: An umbrella term for people whose gender identity and/or gender expression differs from their birth sex. They may or may not seek to undergo gender reassignment hormonal treatment/surgery. Often used interchangeably with trans.

Transsexual: A person who intends to undergo, is undergoing or has undergone gender reassignment (which may or may not involve hormone therapy or surgery). Transsexual people feel the deep conviction to present themselves in the appearance of the opposite sex. They may change their name and identity to live in the preferred gender. Some take hormones and have cosmetic treatments to alter their appearance and physical characteristics. Some undergo surgery to change their bodies to approximate more closely to their preferred gender. Transsexual people have the protected characteristic of gender reassignment under the Equality Act 2010. Under the Act, gender reassignment is a personal process rather than a medical one and it does not require someone to undergo medical treatment in order to be protected.

Victimisation: Subjecting a person to a detriment because they have made a complaint of discrimination, or are thought to have done so; or because they have supported someone else who has made a complaint of discrimination. Victimisation is unlawful under the Equality Act 2010.

A Summary of the Equality Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 replaces the existing anti-discrimination laws with a single Act. The legislation covers:

- Employment and work
- Goods and services
- The exercise of public functions
- Premises
- Associations
- Transport
- Education

The act prohibits:

- Direct discrimination
- Indirect discrimination
- Discrimination by association
- Discrimination by perception
- Discrimination arising from disability
- Victimisation
- Harassment

The new legislation no longer refers to 'diversity strands' instead it introduces the concept of 'protected characteristics or groups, the protected characteristics are:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Race
- Religion or belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation
- Marriage and civil partnership
- Pregnancy and maternity

The Public Sector Equality Duty

The public sector equality duty requires that the council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

These are generally referred to as the three arms of the duty. In relation to 'fostering' there is a duty to have due regard to the need to tackle prejudice and promote understanding.

Equality of opportunity is expanded by placing a duty on the Council to have due regard to the need to:

- Remove or minimize disadvantages connected to a characteristic of a protected group.
- Take steps to meet the needs of protected groups.
- Encourage participation of protected groups in public life where participation is proportionately low.

There is also a specific requirement that councils must take steps to take account of a person's disability and there is a duty to make reasonable adjustments to remove barriers for disabled people. The duty is 'anticipatory'. For example, Brent Council cannot wait until a disabled person wants to use its services, but must think in advance (and on an ongoing basis) about what people with a range of impairments might reasonably need.